



# Objection 562

Division of North Sydney – Hunters Hill, Gladesville, Lane Cove, Riverview and Longueville

82 Representations

82 pages

# Representations made by:

- Peter Wallace
- Sophie Rist
- Sidney Lim
- Paul Minslow
- Penelope Gee
- Alex Ostermann
- Alexander Westwood
- He Yan
- William Ullman
- Dianne Child
- Christopher K Shead
- Blair Cooke
- N Cooke
- Enid Taylor
- Nat Means
- Katherine Gordon
- Stevka Janic
- June Simon
- Alastair Kennedy
- Sally Kennedy
- Andrew Jones
- Carol Le (?)
- J Sutton
- Sue Sutton
- Kate Summers
- Calli Herrick
- Leon Howlett
- Helen Simpson
- David Purdue
- Georgia Millar
- Jeff Knapman
- Suzanne Knapman
- Peter Fleming
- Sai S (?)
- Farid Fattal
- Lyn Benson
- Jannette Krezel
- Ernest Lau

- J Blake
- Sally Reynolds
- James Stewart
- G(?)
- Karim Haddad
- Celine Haddad
- Sarah Lennard
- Craig Lennard
- Barbara Gross
- Anjali Bisht
- Cassandra Wilson
- Shou (?) Chu Tsang
- Ruth Neumann
- Paul Saia
- Adrian Trothe
- Simon Grant
- Anna Bialecki
- Jenny Taylor
- Ruth Massey
- Rebecca R
- B Murray
- Aaryash Bharadwaj
- Sima Hamid
- Brooke Little
- Frances Farrell (?)
- Timothy Stephen
- Sui Ling Yeung
- Sholla Ameko
- Tess Murphy
- Tina Ticlar (?)
- Ayake M (?)
- Adrien Peyrache (?)
- Gordon Beed
- Shelley Kay
- Clinton
- Tracy Ashton
- Kar Chiu
- Lena Teo
- Sally McMurray
- Julian Ashton
- Sam Ashton

- Jerry Lai
- Jemimah Lai
- Carol Henderson

Date: July 2024

RE: Objection to the AEC's proposal to abolish the federal seat of North Sydney.

Dear Sir/Madam:

North Sydney is a well-established and high functioning community.

North Sydney has been a federal seat since federation. It is telling that we were one of the first electorates to stand up for independent representation in 2022, and one of the few to vote for an Indigenous Voice to Parliament in the 2023 referendum. This was achieved because we are a tight network of community minded individuals.

North Sydney is in fact growing!

The AEC's proposal to abolish North Sydney does not consider existing and future population growth numbers inside our electorate. The AEC has failed to consult appropriate sources for future growth numbers, including state and local planning policies, the number of developments under construction and the number of developments in the pipeline for future construction.

Two examples of what has not been considered:

1. The NSW State Government plans to see 5,900 new homes built in the North Sydney LGA alone by 2029.

2. North Sydney Council is expecting 19,500 new residents to move into the area by

It does not make sense to propose to split the high-growth area around St Leonards and Crows Nest into three separate electorates.

North Sydney is a community of interest

The North Sydney community has unique characteristics and needs that might not be adequately addressed if its residents are absorbed into neighbouring electorates. Furthermore, the networks, connections, sporting groups, businesses, community groups, schools and transport lines are intrinsically linked within the current North Sydney electorate. Maintaining the seat ensures continuity in addressing long-term projects and community goals and is important economically given North Sydney is the third largest business centre in Australia and the significant economic corridor that runs through the electorate.

I am a proud member of the North Sydney community. I strongly oppose the draft proposal that the federal seat of North Sydney be abolished and request for it to remain intact, with minimal changes to accommodate changes in overall numbers of electors in NSW.

Signature

Date: 6 July 2024

RE: Objection to the AEC's proposal to abolish the federal seat of North Sydney.

Dear Sir/Madam:

North Sydney is a well-established and high functioning community.

North Sydney has been a federal seat since federation. It is telling that we were one of the first electorates to stand up for independent representation in 2022, and one of the few to vote for an Indigenous Voice to Parliament in the 2023 referendum. This was achieved because we are a tight network of community minded individuals.

North Sydney is in fact growing!

The AEC's proposal to abolish North Sydney does not consider existing and future population growth numbers inside our electorate. The AEC has failed to consult appropriate sources for future growth numbers, including state and local planning policies, the number of developments under construction and the number of developments in the pipeline for future construction. Had they done so, we would not be on the list of possible electorates to be abolished.

Two examples of what has not been considered:

- 1. The NSW State Government plans to see 5,900 new homes built in the North Sydney LGA alone by 2029.
- 2. North Sydney Council is expecting 19,500 new residents to move into the area by 2036.

Abolishment will lead to disadvantage

The repercussions of the abolishment will also compromise effective representation by an elected Federal MP, to the disadvantage of the existing members of North Sydney. North Sydney has unique socioeconomic characteristics and needs that might not be adequately addressed if its residents are absorbed into neighbouring, less cohesive electorates. Furthermore, all networks, connections, organisations, social case works, etc are intrinsically linked by being part of this community since 1901. Maintaining the seat ensures continuity in addressing long-term projects and community goals.

	SOPHIE	RIST	LANE	CoUE
Signature	Name & Suburb			

RE: Objection to the AEC's proposal to abolish the federal seat of North Sydney.
Dear Sir/Madam:
North Sydney is a well-established and high functioning community.  North Sydney has been a federal seat since federation. It is telling that we were one of the first electorates to stand up for independent representation in 2022, and one of the few to vote for an Indigenous Voice to Parliament in the 2023 referendum. This was achieved because we are a tight network of community minded individuals.
North Sydney is in fact growing!  The AEC's proposal to abolish North Sydney does not consider existing and future population growth numbers inside our electorate. The AEC has failed to consult appropriate sources for future growth numbers, including state and local planning policies, the number of developments under construction and the number of developments in the pipeline for future construction. Had they done so, we would not be on the list of possible electorates to be abolished.  Two examples of what has not been considered:  1. The NSW State Government plans to see 5,900 new homes built in the North Sydney LGA alone by 2029.  2. North Sydney Council is expecting 19,500 new residents to move into the area by 2036.
Abolishment will lead to disadvantage  The repercussions of the abolishment will also compromise effective representation by an elected Federal MP, to the disadvantage of the existing members of North Sydney. North Sydney has unique socioeconomic characteristics and needs that might not be adequately addressed if its residents are absorbed into neighbouring, less cohesive electorates. Furthermore, all networks, connections, organisations, social case works, etc are intrinsically linked by being part of this community since 1901. Maintaining the seat ensures continuity in addressing long-term projects and community goals.
I am a proud member of the North Sydney community. I strongly oppose the draft proposal that the federal seat of North Sydney be abolished and request for it to

Sidney Lim, Lane Cove

Name & Suburb

To: New South Wales augmented Electoral Commission

Date: July 2024

remain intact.

Signature

Date: <u>6</u> July 2024

RE: Objection to the AEC's proposal to abolish the federal seat of North Sydney.

Dear Sir/Madam:

North Sydney is a well-established and high functioning community.

North Sydney has been a federal seat since federation. It is telling that we were one of the first electorates to stand up for independent representation in 2022, and one of the few to vote for an Indigenous Voice to Parliament in the 2023 referendum. This was achieved because we are a tight network of community minded individuals.

North Sydney is in fact growing!

The AEC's proposal to abolish North Sydney does not consider existing and future population growth numbers inside our electorate. The AEC has failed to consult appropriate sources for future growth numbers, including state and local planning policies, the number of developments under construction and the number of developments in the pipeline for future construction. Had they done so, we would not be on the list of possible electorates to be abolished.

Two examples of what has not been considered:

- 1. The NSW State Government plans to see 5,900 new homes built in the North Sydney LGA alone by 2029.
- 2. North Sydney Council is expecting 19,500 new residents to move into the area by 2036.

Abolishment will lead to disadvantage

The repercussions of the abolishment will also compromise effective representation by an elected Federal MP, to the disadvantage of the existing members of North Sydney. North Sydney has unique socioeconomic characteristics and needs that might not be adequately addressed if its residents are absorbed into neighbouring, less cohesive electorates. Furthermore, all networks, connections, organisations, social case works, etc are intrinsically linked by being part of this community since 1901. Maintaining the seat ensures continuity in addressing long-term projects and community goals.

	Qui Musico)
Signature	Name & Suburb

Date: 6 July 2024

RE: Objection to the AEC's proposal to abolish the federal seat of North Sydney.

Dear Sir/Madam:

North Sydney is a well-established and high functioning community.

North Sydney has been a federal seat since federation. It is telling that we were one of the first electorates to stand up for independent representation in 2022, and one of the few to vote for an Indigenous Voice to Parliament in the 2023 referendum. This was achieved because we are a tight network of community minded individuals.

North Sydney is in fact growing!

The AEC's proposal to abolish North Sydney does not consider existing and future population growth numbers inside our electorate. The AEC has failed to consult appropriate sources for future growth numbers, including state and local planning policies, the number of developments under construction and the number of developments in the pipeline for future construction. Had they done so, we would not be on the list of possible electorates to be abolished.

Two examples of what has not been considered:

1. The NSW State Government plans to see 5,900 new homes built in the North Sydney LGA alone by 2029.

2. North Sydney Council is expecting 19,500 new residents to move into the area by 2036.

Abolishment will lead to disadvantage

The repercussions of the abolishment will also compromise effective representation by an elected Federal MP, to the disadvantage of the existing members of North Sydney. North Sydney has unique socioeconomic characteristics and needs that might not be adequately addressed if its residents are absorbed into neighbouring, less cohesive electorates. Furthermore, all networks, connections, organisations, social case works, etc are intrinsically linked by being part of this community since 1901. Maintaining the seat ensures continuity in addressing long-term projects and community goals.

I am a proud member of the North Sydney community. I strongly oppose the draft proposal that the federal seat of North Sydney be abolished and request for it to remain intact.

Signature Cove .

Date: 6 July 2024

RE: Objection to the AEC's proposal to abolish the federal seat of North Sydney.

Dear Sir/Madam:

North Sydney is a well-established and high functioning community.

North Sydney has been a federal seat since federation. It is telling that we were one of the first electorates to stand up for independent representation in 2022, and one of the few to vote for an Indigenous Voice to Parliament in the 2023 referendum. This was achieved because we are a tight network of community minded individuals.

North Sydney is in fact growing!

The AEC's proposal to abolish North Sydney does not consider existing and future population growth numbers inside our electorate. The AEC has failed to consult appropriate sources for future growth numbers, including state and local planning policies, the number of developments under construction and the number of developments in the pipeline for future construction. Had they done so, we would not be on the list of possible electorates to be abolished.

Two examples of what has not been considered:

- 1. The NSW State Government plans to see 5,900 new homes built in the North Sydney LGA alone by 2029.
- 2. North Sydney Council is expecting 19,500 new residents to move into the area by 2036.

Abolishment will lead to disadvantage

The repercussions of the abolishment will also compromise effective representation by an elected Federal MP, to the disadvantage of the existing members of North Sydney. North Sydney has unique socioeconomic characteristics and needs that might not be adequately addressed if its residents are absorbed into neighbouring, less cohesive electorates. Furthermore, all networks, connections, organisations, social case works, etc are intrinsically linked by being part of this community since 1901. Maintaining the seat ensures continuity in addressing long-term projects and community goals.

I am a proud member of the North Sydney community. I strongly oppose the draft proposal that the federal seat of North Sydney be abolished and request for it to remain intact.

Signature Suburb

Date: <u>6</u> July 2024

RE: Objection to the AEC's proposal to abolish the federal seat of North Sydney.

Dear Sir/Madam:

North Sydney is a well-established and high functioning community.

North Sydney has been a federal seat since federation. It is telling that we were one of the first electorates to stand up for independent representation in 2022, and one of the few to vote for an Indigenous Voice to Parliament in the 2023 referendum. This was achieved because we are a tight network of community minded individuals.

North Sydney is in fact growing!

The AEC's proposal to abolish North Sydney does not consider existing and future population growth numbers inside our electorate. The AEC has failed to consult appropriate sources for future growth numbers, including state and local planning policies, the number of developments under construction and the number of developments in the pipeline for future construction. Had they done so, we would not be on the list of possible electorates to be abolished.

Two examples of what has not been considered:

- 1. The NSW State Government plans to see 5,900 new homes built in the North Sydney LGA alone by 2029.
- 2. North Sydney Council is expecting 19,500 new residents to move into the area by 2036.

Abolishment will lead to disadvantage

The repercussions of the abolishment will also compromise effective representation by an elected Federal MP, to the disadvantage of the existing members of North Sydney. North Sydney has unique socioeconomic characteristics and needs that might not be adequately addressed if its residents are absorbed into neighbouring, less cohesive electorates. Furthermore, all networks, connections, organisations, social case works, etc are intrinsically linked by being part of this community since 1901. Maintaining the seat ensures continuity in addressing long-term projects and community goals.

	Alexander Westwood	Lane	(jve	<u>2</u> 066
Signature	Name & Suburb			

Date: 0 6 July 2024

RE: Objection to the AEC's proposal to abolish the federal seat of North Sydney.

Dear Sir/Madam:

North Sydney is a well-established and high functioning community.

North Sydney has been a federal seat since federation. It is telling that we were one of the first electorates to stand up for independent representation in 2022, and one of the few to vote for an Indigenous Voice to Parliament in the 2023 referendum. This was achieved because we are a tight network of community minded individuals.

North Sydney is in fact growing!

The AEC's proposal to abolish North Sydney does not consider existing and future population growth numbers inside our electorate. The AEC has failed to consult appropriate sources for future growth numbers, including state and local planning policies, the number of developments under construction and the number of developments in the pipeline for future construction. Had they done so, we would not be on the list of possible electorates to be abolished.

Two examples of what has not been considered:

1. The NSW State Government plans to see 5,900 new homes built in the North Sydney LGA alone by 2029.

2. North Sydney Council is expecting 19,500 new residents to move into the area by 2036.

Abolishment will lead to disadvantage

The repercussions of the abolishment will also compromise effective representation by an elected Federal MP, to the disadvantage of the existing members of North Sydney. North Sydney has unique socioeconomic characteristics and needs that might not be adequately addressed if its residents are absorbed into neighbouring, less cohesive electorates. Furthermore, all networks, connections, organisations, social case works, etc are intrinsically linked by being part of this community since 1901. Maintaining the seat ensures continuity in addressing long-term projects and community goals.

	He Yan / Lane Cove
Signature	Name & Suburb

Date: 66 July 2024

RE: Objection to the AEC's proposal to abolish the federal seat of North Sydney.

Dear Sir/Madam:

North Sydney is a well-established and high functioning community.

North Sydney has been a federal seat since federation. It is telling that we were one of the first electorates to stand up for independent representation in 2022, and one of the few to vote for an Indigenous Voice to Parliament in the 2023 referendum. This was achieved because we are a tight network of community minded individuals.

North Sydney is in fact growing!

The AEC's proposal to abolish North Sydney does not consider existing and future population growth numbers inside our electorate. The AEC has failed to consult appropriate sources for future growth numbers, including state and local planning policies, the number of developments under construction and the number of developments in the pipeline for future construction. Had they done so, we would not be on the list of possible electorates to be abolished.

Two examples of what has not been considered:

- 1. The NSW State Government plans to see 5,900 new homes built in the North Sydney LGA alone by 2029.
- 2. North Sydney Council is expecting 19,500 new residents to move into the area by 2036.

Abolishment will lead to disadvantage

The repercussions of the abolishment will also compromise effective representation by an elected Federal MP, to the disadvantage of the existing members of North Sydney. North Sydney has unique socioeconomic characteristics and needs that might not be adequately addressed if its residents are absorbed into neighbouring, less cohesive electorates. Furthermore, all networks, connections, organisations, social case works, etc are intrinsically linked by being part of this community since 1901. Maintaining the seat ensures continuity in addressing long-term projects and community goals.

	William Ullinon Lune Cock	North
Signature	Name & Suburb	

Date: 6 July 2024

RE: Objection to the AEC's proposal to abolish the federal seat of North Sydney.

Dear Sir/Madam:

North Sydney is a well-established and high functioning community.

North Sydney has been a federal seat since federation. It is telling that we were one of the first electorates to stand up for independent representation in 2022, and one of the few to vote for an Indigenous Voice to Parliament in the 2023 referendum. This was achieved because we are a tight network of community minded individuals.

North Sydney is in fact growing!

The AEC's proposal to abolish North Sydney does not consider existing and future population growth numbers inside our electorate. The AEC has failed to consult appropriate sources for future growth numbers, including state and local planning policies, the number of developments under construction and the number of developments in the pipeline for future construction. Had they done so, we would not be on the list of possible electorates to be abolished.

Two examples of what has not been considered:

- 1. The NSW State Government plans to see 5,900 new homes built in the North Sydney LGA alone by 2029.
- 2. North Sydney Council is expecting 19,500 new residents to move into the area by 2036.

Abolishment will lead to disadvantage

The repercussions of the abolishment will also compromise effective representation by an elected Federal MP, to the disadvantage of the existing members of North Sydney. North Sydney has unique socioeconomic characteristics and needs that might not be adequately addressed if its residents are absorbed into neighbouring, less cohesive electorates. Furthermore, all networks, connections, organisations, social case works, etc are intrinsically linked by being part of this community since 1901. Maintaining the seat ensures continuity in addressing long-term projects and community goals.

I am a proud member of the North Sydney community. I strongly oppose the draft proposal that the federal seat of North Sydney be abolished and request for it to remain intact.

Signature Name & Suburb

Date: \_\_\_ July 2024

RE: Objection to the AEC's proposal to abolish the federal seat of North Sydney.

Dear Sir/Madam:

North Sydney is a well-established and high functioning community.

North Sydney has been a federal seat since federation. It is telling that we were one of the first electorates to stand up for independent representation in 2022, and one of the few to vote for an Indigenous Voice to Parliament in the 2023 referendum. This was achieved because we are a tight network of community minded individuals.

North Sydney is in fact growing!

The AEC's proposal to abolish North Sydney does not consider existing and future population growth numbers inside our electorate. The AEC has failed to consult appropriate sources for future growth numbers, including state and local planning policies, the number of developments under construction and the number of developments in the pipeline for future construction. Had they done so, we would not be on the list of possible electorates to be abolished.

Two examples of what has not been considered:

1. The NSW State Government plans to see 5,900 new homes built in the North Sydney LGA alone by 2029.

2. North Sydney Council is expecting 19,500 new residents to move into the area by 2036.

Abolishment will lead to disadvantage

The repercussions of the abolishment will also compromise effective representation by an elected Federal MP, to the disadvantage of the existing members of North Sydney. North Sydney has unique socioeconomic characteristics and needs that might not be adequately addressed if its residents are absorbed into neighbouring, less cohesive electorates. Furthermore, all networks, connections, organisations, social case works, etc are intrinsically linked by being part of this community since 1901. Maintaining the seat ensures continuity in addressing long-term projects and community goals.

I am a proud member of the North Sydney community. I strongly oppose the draft proposal that the federal seat of North Sydney be abolished and request for it to remain intact.

Signature

Name & Suburb

Date: <u>6</u> July 2024

RE: Objection to the AEC's proposal to abolish the federal seat of North Sydney.

Dear Sir/Madam:

North Sydney is a well-established, complete and high functioning community. North Sydney has been a seat from the very start. We have everything we need in our North Sydney community. It is telling that we were one of the first electorates to stand up for independent representation in 2022, and one of the few to vote for an Indigenous Voice to Parliament in the 2023 referendum. This was achieved because we are a network of community minded individuals.

North Sydney is in fact growing!

The AEC's proposal to abolish North Sydney does not consider existing and future population growth numbers inside our electorate. The AEC has failed to consult appropriate sources for future growth numbers, including state and local planning policies, the number of developments under constructions and the number of developments in the pipeline for future constructions. Had they done so, we would not be on the list of possible electorates to be abolished.

Two examples of what has not been considered:

- 1. The NSW State Government plans to see 5,900 new homes built in the North Sydney LGA alone by 2029.
- 2. North Sydney Council is expecting 19,500 new residents to move into the area by 2036.

Abolishment will lead to disadvantage.

The repercussions of the abolishment will also compromise effective representation by an elected Federal MP, to the disadvantage of the existing member of North Sydney. North Sydney has unique socioeconomic characteristics and needs that might not be adequately addressed if its residents are absorbed into larger, less cohesive electorates. Furthermore, all networks, connections, organisations, social case works, etc are intrinsically linked by being part of this community since 1901. Maintaining the seat ensures continuity in addressing long-term projects and community goals.

	Blair Cooke
Signa <del>ture</del>	Name Lave Cove.

Date: <u>6</u> July 2024

RE: Objection to the AEC's proposal to abolish the federal seat of North Sydney.

Dear Sir/Madam:

North Sydney is a well-established, complete and high functioning community. North Sydney has been a seat from the very start. We have everything we need in our North Sydney community. It is telling that we were one of the first electorates to stand up for independent representation in 2022, and one of the few to vote for an Indigenous Voice to Parliament in the 2023 referendum. This was achieved because we are a network of community minded individuals.

North Sydney is in fact growing!

The AEC's proposal to abolish North Sydney does not consider existing and future population growth numbers inside our electorate. The AEC has failed to consult appropriate sources for future growth numbers, including state and local planning policies, the number of developments under constructions and the number of developments in the pipeline for future constructions. Had they done so, we would not be on the list of possible electorates to be abolished.

Two examples of what has not been considered:

- 1. The NSW State Government plans to see 5,900 new homes built in the North Sydney LGA alone by 2029.
- 2. North Sydney Council is expecting 19,500 new residents to move into the area by 2036.

# Abolishment will lead to disadvantage.

The repercussions of the abolishment will also compromise effective representation by an elected Federal MP, to the disadvantage of the existing member of North Sydney. North Sydney has unique socioeconomic characteristics and needs that might not be adequately addressed if its residents are absorbed into larger, less cohesive electorates. Furthermore, all networks, connections, organisations, social case works, etc are intrinsically linked by being part of this community since 1901. Maintaining the seat ensures continuity in addressing long-term projects and community goals.

I am a proud member of the North Sydney community. I strongly oppose the draft proposal that the seat of North Sydney be abolished and request for it to remain intact.

Signature

Name

I WE COVE

Date: 6 July 2024

RE: Objection to the AEC's proposal to abolish the federal seat of North Sydney.

Dear Sir/Madam:

#### North Sydney is a well-established and high functioning community.

North Sydney has been a federal seat since federation. It is telling that we were one of the first electorates to stand up for independent representation in 2022, and one of the few to vote for an Indigenous Voice to Parliament in the 2023 referendum. This was achieved because we are a tight network of community minded individuals.

# North Sydney is in fact growing!

The AEC's proposal to abolish North Sydney does not consider existing and future population growth numbers inside our electorate. The AEC has failed to consult appropriate sources for future growth numbers, including state and local planning policies, the number of developments under construction and the number of developments in the pipeline for future construction. Had they done so, we would not be on the list of possible electorates to be abolished.

Two examples of what has not been considered:

- 1. The NSW State Government plans to see 5,900 new homes built in the North Sydney LGA alone by 2029.
- 2. North Sydney Council is expecting 19,500 new residents to move into the area by 2036.

#### Abolishment will lead to disadvantage

The repercussions of the abolishment will also compromise effective representation by an elected Federal MP, to the disadvantage of the existing members of North Sydney. North Sydney has unique socioeconomic characteristics and needs that might not be adequately addressed if its residents are absorbed into neighbouring, less cohesive electorates. Furthermore, all networks, connections, organisations, social case works, etc are intrinsically linked by being part of this community since 1901. Maintaining the seat ensures continuity in addressing long-term projects and community goals.

I am a proud member of the North Sydney community. I strongly oppose the draft proposal that the federal seat of North Sydney be abolished and request for it to remain intact.

Signature Signature Cove

Date: <u></u> July 2024

RE: Objection to the AEC's proposal to abolish the federal seat of North Sydney.

Dear Sir/Madam:

#### North Sydney is a well-established and high functioning community.

North Sydney has been a federal seat since federation. It is telling that we were one of the first electorates to stand up for independent representation in 2022, and one of the few to vote for an Indigenous Voice to Parliament in the 2023 referendum. This was achieved because we are a tight network of community minded individuals.

# North Sydney is in fact growing!


The AEC's proposal to abolish North Sydney does not consider existing and future population growth numbers inside our electorate. The AEC has failed to consult appropriate sources for future growth numbers, including state and local planning policies, the number of developments under construction and the number of developments in the pipeline for future construction. Had they done so, we would not be on the list of possible electorates to be abolished.

Two examples of what has not been considered:

- 1. The NSW State Government plans to see 5,900 new homes built in the North Sydney LGA alone by 2029.
- 2. North Sydney Council is expecting 19,500 new residents to move into the area by 2036.

#### Abolishment will lead to disadvantage

The repercussions of the abolishment will also compromise effective representation by an elected Federal MP, to the disadvantage of the existing members of North Sydney. North Sydney has unique socioeconomic characteristics and needs that might not be adequately addressed if its residents are absorbed into neighbouring, less cohesive electorates. Furthermore, all networks, connections, organisations, social case works, etc are intrinsically linked by being part of this community since 1901. Maintaining the seat ensures continuity in addressing long-term projects and community goals.



Date: 6 July 2024

RE: Objection to the AEC's proposal to abolish the federal seat of North Sydney.

Dear Sir/Madam:

## North Sydney is a well-established and high functioning community.

North Sydney has been a federal seat since federation. It is telling that we were one of the first electorates to stand up for independent representation in 2022, and one of the few to vote for an Indigenous Voice to Parliament in the 2023 referendum. This was achieved because we are a tight network of community minded individuals.

### North Sydney is in fact growing!

The AEC's proposal to abolish North Sydney does not consider existing and future population growth numbers inside our electorate. The AEC has failed to consult appropriate sources for future growth numbers, including state and local planning policies, the number of developments under construction and the number of developments in the pipeline for future construction. Had they done so, we would not be on the list of possible electorates to be abolished.

Two examples of what has not been considered:

- 1. The NSW State Government plans to see 5,900 new homes built in the North Sydney LGA alone by 2029.
- 2. North Sydney Council is expecting 19,500 new residents to move into the area by 2036.

#### Abolishment will lead to disadvantage

The repercussions of the abolishment will also compromise effective representation by an elected Federal MP, to the disadvantage of the existing members of North Sydney. North Sydney has unique socioeconomic characteristics and needs that might not be adequately addressed if its residents are absorbed into neighbouring, less cohesive electorates. Furthermore, all networks, connections, organisations, social case works, etc are intrinsically linked by being part of this community since 1901. Maintaining the seat ensures continuity in addressing long-term projects and community goals.

I am a proud member of the North Sydney community. I strongly oppose the draft proposal that the federal seat of North Sydney be abolished and request for it to remain intact.

Si

Katherine Gbrahan Name & Suburb Core Cove

Date: Z July 2024

RE: Objection to the AEC's proposal to abolish the federal seat of North Sydney.

Dear Sir/Madam:

North Sydney is a well-established and high functioning community.

North Sydney has been a federal seat since federation. It is telling that we were one of the first electorates to stand up for independent representation in 2022, and one of the few to vote for an Indigenous Voice to Parliament in the 2023 referendum. This was achieved because we are a tight network of community minded individuals.

North Sydney is in fact growing!

The AEC's proposal to abolish North Sydney does not consider existing and future population growth numbers inside our electorate. The AEC has failed to consult appropriate sources for future growth numbers, including state and local planning policies, the number of developments under construction and the number of developments in the pipeline for future construction. Had they done so, we would not be on the list of possible electorates to be abolished.

Two examples of what has not been considered:

- 1. The NSW State Government plans to see 5,900 new homes built in the North Sydney LGA alone by 2029.
- 2. North Sydney Council is expecting 19,500 new residents to move into the area by 2036.

#### Abolishment will lead to disadvantage

The repercussions of the abolishment will also compromise effective representation by an elected Federal MP, to the disadvantage of the existing members of North Sydney. North Sydney has unique socioeconomic characteristics and needs that might not be adequately addressed if its residents are absorbed into neighbouring, less cohesive electorates. Furthermore, all networks, connections, organisations, social case works, etc are intrinsically linked by being part of this community since 1901. Maintaining the seat ensures continuity in addressing long-term projects and community goals.

	Seeka Jana	Loine	Cose
Signature	Name & Suburb		

Date: 6 July 2024

RE: Objection to the AEC's proposal to abolish the federal seat of North Sydney.

Dear Sir/Madam:

North Sydney is a well-established and high functioning community.

North Sydney has been a federal seat since federation. It is telling that we were one of the first electorates to stand up for independent representation in 2022, and one of the few to vote for an Indigenous Voice to Parliament in the 2023 referendum. This was achieved because we are a tight network of community minded individuals.

North Sydney is in fact growing!

The AEC's proposal to abolish North Sydney does not consider existing and future population growth numbers inside our electorate. The AEC has failed to consult appropriate sources for future growth numbers, including state and local planning policies, the number of developments under construction and the number of developments in the pipeline for future construction. Had they done so, we would not be on the list of possible electorates to be abolished.

Two examples of what has not been considered:

- 1. The NSW State Government plans to see 5,900 new homes built in the North Sydney LGA alone by 2029.
- 2. North Sydney Council is expecting 19,500 new residents to move into the area by 2036.

#### Abolishment will lead to disadvantage

The repercussions of the abolishment will also compromise effective representation by an elected Federal MP, to the disadvantage of the existing members of North Sydney. North Sydney has unique socioeconomic characteristics and needs that might not be adequately addressed if its residents are absorbed into neighbouring, less cohesive electorates. Furthermore, all networks, connections, organisations, social case works, etc are intrinsically linked by being part of this community since 1901. Maintaining the seat ensures continuity in addressing long-term projects and community goals.

I am a proud member of the North Sydney community. I strongly oppose the draft proposal that the federal seat of North Sydney be abolished and request for it to remain intact.

Signature

Signature

Signature

Date: <u>6</u> July 2024

RE: Objection to the AEC's proposal to abolish the federal seat of North Sydney.

Dear Sir/Madam:

# North Sydney is a well-established and high functioning community.

North Sydney has been a federal seat since federation. It is telling that we were one of the first electorates to stand up for independent representation in 2022, and one of the few to vote for an Indigenous Voice to Parliament in the 2023 referendum. This was achieved because we are a tight network of community minded individuals.

#### North Sydney is in fact growing!

The AEC's proposal to abolish North Sydney does not consider existing and future population growth numbers inside our electorate. The AEC has failed to consult appropriate sources for future growth numbers, including state and local planning policies, the number of developments under construction and the number of developments in the pipeline for future construction. Had they done so, we would not be on the list of possible electorates to be abolished.

Two examples of what has not been considered:

- 1. The NSW State Government plans to see 5,900 new homes built in the North Sydney LGA alone by 2029.
- 2. North Sydney Council is expecting 19,500 new residents to move into the area by 2036.

# Abolishment will lead to disadvantage

The repercussions of the abolishment will also compromise effective representation by an elected Federal MP, to the disadvantage of the existing members of North Sydney. North Sydney has unique socioeconomic characteristics and needs that might not be adequately addressed if its residents are absorbed into neighbouring, less cohesive electorates. Furthermore, all networks, connections, organisations, social case works, etc are intrinsically linked by being part of this community since 1901. Maintaining the seat ensures continuity in addressing long-term projects and community goals.

I am a proud member of the North Sydney community. I strongly oppose the draft proposal that the federal seat of North Sydney be abolished and request for it to remain intact.

Alasta, sellnedy

Lounge ville

Name & Suburb

Date: 6 July 2024

RE: Objection to the AEC's proposal to abolish the federal seat of North Sydney.

Dear Sir/Madam:

North Sydney is a well-established and high functioning community.

North Sydney has been a federal seat since federation. It is telling that we were one of the first electorates to stand up for independent representation in 2022, and one of the few to vote for an Indigenous Voice to Parliament in the 2023 referendum. This was achieved because we are a tight network of community minded individuals.

# North Sydney is in fact growing!

The AEC's proposal to abolish North Sydney does not consider existing and future population growth numbers inside our electorate. The AEC has failed to consult appropriate sources for future growth numbers, including state and local planning policies, the number of developments under construction and the number of developments in the pipeline for future construction. Had they done so, we would not be on the list of possible electorates to be abolished.

Two examples of what has not been considered:

- 1. The NSW State Government plans to see 5,900 new homes built in the North Sydney LGA alone by 2029.
- 2. North Sydney Council is expecting 19,500 new residents to move into the area by 2036.

# Abolishment will lead to disadvantage

The repercussions of the abolishment will also compromise effective representation by an elected Federal MP, to the disadvantage of the existing members of North Sydney. North Sydney has unique socioeconomic characteristics and needs that might not be adequately addressed if its residents are absorbed into neighbouring, less cohesive electorates. Furthermore, all networks, connections, organisations, social case works, etc are intrinsically linked by being part of this community since 1901. Maintaining the seat ensures continuity in addressing long-term projects and community goals.

I am a proud member of the North Sydney community. I strongly oppose the draft proposal that the federal seat of North Sydney be abolished and request for it to remain intact.

SALLY KENNEDY

Name & Suburb LONGUE VILLE

Date: 6 July 2024

RE: Objection to the AEC's proposal to abolish the federal seat of North Sydney.

Dear Sir/Madam:

# North Sydney is a well-established and high functioning community.

North Sydney has been a federal seat since federation. It is telling that we were one of the first electorates to stand up for independent representation in 2022, and one of the few to vote for an Indigenous Voice to Parliament in the 2023 referendum. This was achieved because we are a tight network of community minded individuals.

# North Sydney is in fact growing!

The AEC's proposal to abolish North Sydney does not consider existing and future population growth numbers inside our electorate. The AEC has failed to consult appropriate sources for future growth numbers, including state and local planning policies, the number of developments under construction and the number of developments in the pipeline for future construction. Had they done so, we would not be on the list of possible electorates to be abolished.

Two examples of what has not been considered:

- 1. The NSW State Government plans to see 5,900 new homes built in the North Sydney LGA alone by 2029.
- 2. North Sydney Council is expecting 19,500 new residents to move into the area by 2036.

## Abolishment will lead to disadvantage

The repercussions of the abolishment will also compromise effective representation by an elected Federal MP, to the disadvantage of the existing members of North Sydney. North Sydney has unique socioeconomic characteristics and needs that might not be adequately addressed if its residents are absorbed into neighbouring, less cohesive electorates. Furthermore, all networks, connections, organisations, social case works, etc are intrinsically linked by being part of this community since 1901. Maintaining the seat ensures continuity in addressing long-term projects and community goals.



Date: 6 July 2024

RE: Objection to the AEC's proposal to abolish the federal seat of North Sydney.

Dear Sir/Madam:

# North Sydney is a well-established and high functioning community.

North Sydney has been a federal seat since federation. It is telling that we were one of the first electorates to stand up for independent representation in 2022, and one of the few to vote for an Indigenous Voice to Parliament in the 2023 referendum. This was achieved because we are a tight network of community minded individuals.

# North Sydney is in fact growing!

The AEC's proposal to abolish North Sydney does not consider existing and future population growth numbers inside our electorate. The AEC has failed to consult appropriate sources for future growth numbers, including state and local planning policies, the number of developments under construction and the number of developments in the pipeline for future construction. Had they done so, we would not be on the list of possible electorates to be abolished.

Two examples of what has not been considered:

- 1. The NSW State Government plans to see 5,900 new homes built in the North Sydney LGA alone by 2029.
- 2. North Sydney Council is expecting 19,500 new residents to move into the area by 2036.

#### Abolishment will lead to disadvantage

The repercussions of the abolishment will also compromise effective representation by an elected Federal MP, to the disadvantage of the existing members of North Sydney. North Sydney has unique socioeconomic characteristics and needs that might not be adequately addressed if its residents are absorbed into neighbouring, less cohesive electorates. Furthermore, all networks, connections, organisations, social case works, etc are intrinsically linked by being part of this community since 1901. Maintaining the seat ensures continuity in addressing long-term projects and community goals.

I am a proud member of the North Sydney community. I strongly oppose the draft proposal that the federal seat of North Sydney be abolished and request for it to remain intact.

Signature Carol Leur Lane (c.10 Harth

Date: 6 July 2024

RE: Objection to the AEC's proposal to abolish the federal seat of North Sydney.

Dear Sir/Madam:

#### North Sydney is a well-established and high functioning community.

North Sydney has been a federal seat since federation. It is telling that we were one of the first electorates to stand up for independent representation in 2022, and one of the few to vote for an Indigenous Voice to Parliament in the 2023 referendum. This was achieved because we are a tight network of community minded individuals.

#### North Sydney is in fact growing!

The AEC's proposal to abolish North Sydney does not consider existing and future population growth numbers inside our electorate. The AEC has failed to consult appropriate sources for future growth numbers, including state and local planning policies, the number of developments under construction and the number of developments in the pipeline for future construction. Had they done so, we would not be on the list of possible electorates to be abolished.

Two examples of what has not been considered:

- 1. The NSW State Government plans to see 5,900 new homes built in the North Sydney LGA alone by 2029.
- 2. North Sydney Council is expecting 19,500 new residents to move into the area by 2036.

#### Abolishment will lead to disadvantage

The repercussions of the abolishment will also compromise effective representation by an elected Federal MP, to the disadvantage of the existing members of North Sydney. North Sydney has unique socioeconomic characteristics and needs that might not be adequately addressed if its residents are absorbed into neighbouring, less cohesive electorates. Furthermore, all networks, connections, organisations, social case works, etc are intrinsically linked by being part of this community since 1901. Maintaining the seat ensures continuity in addressing long-term projects and community goals.

	J. Syran	RIVERVIEIN
Signature	Name & Suburb	

Date: \_\_\_\_ July 2024

RE: Objection to the AEC's proposal to abolish the federal seat of North Sydney.

Dear Sir/Madam:

#### North Sydney is a well-established and high functioning community.

North Sydney has been a federal seat since federation. It is telling that we were one of the first electorates to stand up for independent representation in 2022, and one of the few to vote for an Indigenous Voice to Parliament in the 2023 referendum. This was achieved because we are a tight network of community minded individuals.

#### North Sydney is in fact growing!

The AEC's proposal to abolish North Sydney does not consider existing and future population growth numbers inside our electorate. The AEC has failed to consult appropriate sources for future growth numbers, including state and local planning policies, the number of developments under construction and the number of developments in the pipeline for future construction. Had they done so, we would not be on the list of possible electorates to be abolished.

Two examples of what has not been considered:

- 1. The NSW State Government plans to see 5,900 new homes built in the North Sydney LGA alone by 2029.
- 2. North Sydney Council is expecting 19,500 new residents to move into the area by 2036.

#### Abolishment will lead to disadvantage

The repercussions of the abolishment will also compromise effective representation by an elected Federal MP, to the disadvantage of the existing members of North Sydney. North Sydney has unique socioeconomic characteristics and needs that might not be adequately addressed if its residents are absorbed into neighbouring, less cohesive electorates. Furthermore, all networks, connections, organisations, social case works, etc are intrinsically linked by being part of this community since 1901. Maintaining the seat ensures continuity in addressing long-term projects and community goals.

I am a proud member of the North Sydney community. I strongly oppose the draft proposal that the federal seat of North Sydney be abolished and request for it to remain intact.

Signature Suburb

Date: Date: Date:

RE: Objection to the AEC's proposal to abolish the federal seat of North Sydney.

Dear Sir/Madam:

North Sydney is a well-established and high functioning community.

North Sydney has been a federal seat since federation. It is telling that we were one of the first electorates to stand up for independent representation in 2022, and one of the few to vote for an Indigenous Voice to Parliament in the 2023 referendum. This was achieved because we are a tight network of community minded individuals.

North Sydney is in fact growing!

The AEC's proposal to abolish North Sydney does not consider existing and future population growth numbers inside our electorate. The AEC has failed to consult appropriate sources for future growth numbers, including state and local planning policies, the number of developments under construction and the number of developments in the pipeline for future construction. Had they done so, we would not be on the list of possible electorates to be abolished.

Two examples of what has not been considered:

1. The NSW State Government plans to see 5,900 new homes built in the North Sydney LGA alone by 2029.

2. North Sydney Council is expecting 19,500 new residents to move into the area by 2036.

Abolishment will lead to disadvantage

The repercussions of the abolishment will also compromise effective representation by an elected Federal MP, to the disadvantage of the existing members of North Sydney. North Sydney has unique socioeconomic characteristics and needs that might not be adequately addressed if its residents are absorbed into neighbouring, less cohesive electorates. Furthermore, all networks, connections, organisations, social case works, etc are intrinsically linked by being part of this community since 1901. Maintaining the seat ensures continuity in addressing long-term projects and community goals.

romain intact	
8	
	KATE SUMMERS
Signature	Name & Suburb
	12/00/02/20

Date: 🙆 July 2024

RE: Objection to the AEC's proposal to abolish the federal seat of North Sydney.

Dear Sir/Madam:

North Sydney is a well-established and high functioning community.

North Sydney has been a federal seat since federation. It is telling that we were one of the first electorates to stand up for independent representation in 2022, and one of the few to vote for an Indigenous Voice to Parliament in the 2023 referendum. This was achieved because we are a tight network of community minded individuals.

# North Sydney is in fact growing!

The AEC's proposal to abolish North Sydney does not consider existing and future population growth numbers inside our electorate. The AEC has failed to consult appropriate sources for future growth numbers, including state and local planning policies, the number of developments under construction and the number of developments in the pipeline for future construction. Had they done so, we would not be on the list of possible electorates to be abolished.

Two examples of what has not been considered:

- 1. The NSW State Government plans to see 5,900 new homes built in the North Sydney LGA alone by 2029.
- 2. North Sydney Council is expecting 19,500 new residents to move into the area by 2036.

# Abolishment will lead to disadvantage

The repercussions of the abolishment will also compromise effective representation by an elected Federal MP, to the disadvantage of the existing members of North Sydney. North Sydney has unique socioeconomic characteristics and needs that might not be adequately addressed if its residents are absorbed into neighbouring, less cohesive electorates. Furthermore, all networks, connections, organisations, social case works, etc are intrinsically linked by being part of this community since 1901. Maintaining the seat ensures continuity in addressing long-term projects and community goals.

I am a proud member of the North Sydney community. I strongly oppose the draft proposal that the federal seat of North Sydney be abolished and request for it to remain intact.

Name & Suburb

Love Cove.

Signature

Date: 6 July 2024

RE: Objection to the AEC's proposal to abolish the federal seat of North Sydney.

Dear Sir/Madam:

North Sydney is a well-established and high functioning community.

North Sydney has been a federal seat since federation. It is telling that we were one of the first electorates to stand up for independent representation in 2022, and one of the few to vote for an Indigenous Voice to Parliament in the 2023 referendum. This was achieved because we are a tight network of community minded individuals.

North Sydney is in fact growing!

The AEC's proposal to abolish North Sydney does not consider existing and future population growth numbers inside our electorate. The AEC has failed to consult appropriate sources for future growth numbers, including state and local planning policies, the number of developments under construction and the number of developments in the pipeline for future construction. Had they done so, we would not be on the list of possible electorates to be abolished.

Two examples of what has not been considered:

- 1. The NSW State Government plans to see 5,900 new homes built in the North Sydney LGA alone by 2029.
- 2. North Sydney Council is expecting 19,500 new residents to move into the area by 2036.

# Abolishment will lead to disadvantage

The repercussions of the abolishment will also compromise effective representation by an elected Federal MP, to the disadvantage of the existing members of North Sydney. North Sydney has unique socioeconomic characteristics and needs that might not be adequately addressed if its residents are absorbed into neighbouring, less cohesive electorates. Furthermore, all networks, connections, organisations, social case works, etc are intrinsically linked by being part of this community since 1901. Maintaining the seat ensures continuity in addressing long-term projects and community goals.

I am a proud member of the North Sydney community. I strongly oppose the draft proposal that the federal seat of North Sydney be abolished and request for it to remain intact.

LEON HOWLETT LANE COVE Name & Suburb Signature

Date: 6 July 2024

RE: Objection to the AEC's proposal to abolish the federal seat of North Sydney.

Dear Sir/Madam:

# North Sydney is a well-established and high functioning community.

North Sydney has been a federal seat since federation. It is telling that we were one of the first electorates to stand up for independent representation in 2022, and one of the few to vote for an Indigenous Voice to Parliament in the 2023 referendum. This was achieved because we are a tight network of community minded individuals.

### North Sydney is in fact growing!

The AEC's proposal to abolish North Sydney does not consider existing and future population growth numbers inside our electorate. The AEC has failed to consult appropriate sources for future growth numbers, including state and local planning policies, the number of developments under construction and the number of developments in the pipeline for future construction. Had they done so, we would not be on the list of possible electorates to be abolished.

Two examples of what has not been considered:

- 1. The NSW State Government plans to see 5,900 new homes built in the North Sydney LGA alone by 2029.
- 2. North Sydney Council is expecting 19,500 new residents to move into the area by 2036.

#### Abolishment will lead to disadvantage

The repercussions of the abolishment will also compromise effective representation by an elected Federal MP, to the disadvantage of the existing members of North Sydney. North Sydney has unique socioeconomic characteristics and needs that might not be adequately addressed if its residents are absorbed into neighbouring. less cohesive electorates. Furthermore, all networks, connections, organisations, social case works, etc are intrinsically linked by being part of this community since 1901. Maintaining the seat ensures continuity in addressing long-term projects and community goals.

I am a proud member of the North Sydney community. I strongly oppose the draft proposal that the federal seat of North Sydney be abolished and request for it to remain intact.

Signature

Name & Suburb

LANE COVE.

Date: \_7\_ July 2024

RE: Objection to the AEC's proposal to abolish the federal seat of North Sydney.

Dear Sir/Madam:

# North Sydney is a well-established and high functioning community.

North Sydney has been a federal seat since federation. It is telling that we were one of the first electorates to stand up for independent representation in 2022, and one of the few to vote for an Indigenous Voice to Parliament in the 2023 referendum. This was achieved because we are a tight network of community minded individuals.

# North Sydney is in fact growing!

The AEC's proposal to abolish North Sydney does not consider existing and future population growth numbers inside our electorate. The AEC has failed to consult appropriate sources for future growth numbers, including state and local planning policies, the number of developments under construction and the number of developments in the pipeline for future construction. Had they done so, we would not be on the list of possible electorates to be abolished.

Two examples of what has not been considered:

- 1. The NSW State Government plans to see 5,900 new homes built in the North Sydney LGA alone by 2029.
- 2. North Sydney Council is expecting 19,500 new residents to move into the area by 2036.

#### Abolishment will lead to disadvantage

The repercussions of the abolishment will also compromise effective representation by an elected Federal MP, to the disadvantage of the existing members of North Sydney. North Sydney has unique socioeconomic characteristics and needs that might not be adequately addressed if its residents are absorbed into neighbouring, less cohesive electorates. Furthermore, all networks, connections, organisations, social case works, etc are intrinsically linked by being part of this community since 1901. Maintaining the seat ensures continuity in addressing long-term projects and community goals.

I am a proud member of the North Sydney community. I strongly oppose the draft proposal that the federal seat of North Sydney be abolished and request for it to remain intact.

David Purche Lane Cove Name & Suburb

Date: \_\_\_\_July 2024

RE: Objection to the AEC's proposal to abolish the federal seat of North Sydney.

Dear Sir/Madam:

North Sydney is a well-established and high functioning community.

North Sydney has been a federal seat since federation. It is telling that we were one of the first electorates to stand up for independent representation in 2022, and one of the few to vote for an Indigenous Voice to Parliament in the 2023 referendum. This was achieved because we are a tight network of community minded individuals.

North Sydney is in fact growing!

The AEC's proposal to abolish North Sydney does not consider existing and future population growth numbers inside our electorate. The AEC has failed to consult appropriate sources for future growth numbers, including state and local planning policies, the number of developments under construction and the number of developments in the pipeline for future construction. Had they done so, we would not be on the list of possible electorates to be abolished.

Two examples of what has not been considered:

- 1. The NSW State Government plans to see 5,900 new homes built in the North Sydney LGA alone by 2029.
- 2. North Sydney Council is expecting 19,500 new residents to move into the area by 2036.

#### Abolishment will lead to disadvantage

The repercussions of the abolishment will also compromise effective representation by an elected Federal MP, to the disadvantage of the existing members of North Sydney. North Sydney has unique socioeconomic characteristics and needs that might not be adequately addressed if its residents are absorbed into neighbouring, less cohesive electorates. Furthermore, all networks, connections, organisations, social case works, etc are intrinsically linked by being part of this community since 1901. Maintaining the seat ensures continuity in addressing long-term projects and community goals.

	Georgia Millar	Lone	Core	West
Signature	Name & Suburb			

Date: 6 July 2024

RE: Objection to the AEC's proposal to abolish the federal seat of North Sydney.

Dear Sir/Madam:

North Sydney is a well-established, complete and high functioning community. North Sydney has been a seat from the very start. We have everything we need in our North Sydney community. It is telling that we were one of the first electorates to stand up for independent representation in 2022, and one of the few to vote for an Indigenous Voice to Parliament in the 2023 referendum. This was achieved because we are a network of community minded individuals.

# North Sydney is in fact growing!

The AEC's proposal to abolish North Sydney does not consider existing and future population growth numbers inside our electorate. The AEC has failed to consult appropriate sources for future growth numbers, including state and local planning policies, the number of developments under constructions and the number of developments in the pipeline for future constructions. Had they done so, we would not be on the list of possible electorates to be abolished.

Two examples of what has not been considered:

- 1. The NSW State Government plans to see 5,900 new homes built in the North Sydney LGA alone by 2029.
- 2. North Sydney Council is expecting 19,500 new residents to move into the area by 2036.

#### Abolishment will lead to disadvantage.

The repercussions of the abolishment will also compromise effective representation by an elected Federal MP, to the disadvantage of the existing member of North Sydney. North Sydney has unique socioeconomic characteristics and needs that might not be adequately addressed if its residents are absorbed into larger, less cohesive electorates. Furthermore, all networks, connections, organisations, social case works, etc are intrinsically linked by being part of this community since 1901. Maintaining the seat ensures continuity in addressing long-term projects and community goals.

	Jeff Knapman
Signature	Name

Date: 6 July 2024

RE: Objection to the AEC's proposal to abolish the federal seat of North Sydney.

Dear Sir/Madam:

North Sydney is a well-established, complete and high functioning community. North Sydney has been a seat from the very start. We have everything we need in our North Sydney community. It is telling that we were one of the first electorates to stand up for independent representation in 2022, and one of the few to vote for an Indigenous Voice to Parliament in the 2023 referendum. This was achieved because we are a network of community minded individuals.

# North Sydney is in fact growing!

The AEC's proposal to abolish North Sydney does not consider existing and future population growth numbers inside our electorate. The AEC has failed to consult appropriate sources for future growth numbers, including state and local planning policies, the number of developments under constructions and the number of developments in the pipeline for future constructions. Had they done so, we would not be on the list of possible electorates to be abolished.

Two examples of what has not been considered:

- 1. The NSW State Government plans to see 5,900 new homes built in the North Sydney LGA alone by 2029.
- 2. North Sydney Council is expecting 19,500 new residents to move into the area by 2036.

#### Abolishment will lead to disadvantage.

The repercussions of the abolishment will also compromise effective representation by an elected Federal MP, to the disadvantage of the existing member of North Sydney. North Sydney has unique socioeconomic characteristics and needs that might not be adequately addressed if its residents are absorbed into larger, less cohesive electorates. Furthermore, all networks, connections, organisations, social case works, etc are intrinsically linked by being part of this community since 1901. Maintaining the seat ensures continuity in addressing long-term projects and community goals.

3	Sizanne Knapma	0
Signature	Name have Coxe	2_

Date: 6 July 2024

RE: Objection to the AEC's proposal to abolish the federal seat of North Sydney.

Dear Sir/Madam:

# North Sydney is a well-established and high functioning community.

North Sydney has been a federal seat since federation. It is telling that we were one of the first electorates to stand up for independent representation in 2022, and one of the few to vote for an Indigenous Voice to Parliament in the 2023 referendum. This was achieved because we are a tight network of community minded individuals.

# North Sydney is in fact growing!

The AEC's proposal to abolish North Sydney does not consider existing and future population growth numbers inside our electorate. The AEC has failed to consult appropriate sources for future growth numbers, including state and local planning policies, the number of developments under construction and the number of developments in the pipeline for future construction. Had they done so, we would not be on the list of possible electorates to be abolished.

Two examples of what has not been considered:

- 1. The NSW State Government plans to see 5,900 new homes built in the North Sydney LGA alone by 2029.
- North Sydney Council is expecting 19,500 new residents to move into the area by 2036.

### Abolishment will lead to disadvantage

The repercussions of the abolishment will also compromise effective representation by an elected Federal MP, to the disadvantage of the existing members of North Sydney. North Sydney has unique socioeconomic characteristics and needs that might not be adequately addressed if its residents are absorbed into neighbouring, less cohesive electorates. Furthermore, all networks, connections, organisations, social case works, etc are intrinsically linked by being part of this community since 1901. Maintaining the seat ensures continuity in addressing long-term projects and community goals.

I am a proud member of the North Sydney community. I strongly oppose the draft proposal that the federal seat of North Sydney be abolished and request for it to remain intact.

Pater Flema Lane Cours

RE: Objection to the AEC's proposal to abolish the federal seat of North Sydney.

Dear Sir/Madam:

North Sydney is a well-established and high functioning community.

North Sydney has been a federal seat since federation. It is telling that we were one of the first electorates to stand up for independent representation in 2022, and one of the few to vote for an Indigenous Voice to Parliament in the 2023 referendum. This was achieved because we are a tight network of community minded individuals.

North Sydney is in fact growing!

The AEC's proposal to abolish North Sydney does not consider existing and future population growth numbers inside our electorate. The AEC has failed to consult appropriate sources for future growth numbers, including state and local planning policies, the number of developments under construction and the number of developments in the pipeline for future construction. Had they done so, we would not be on the list of possible electorates to be abolished.

Two examples of what has not been considered:

- 1. The NSW State Government plans to see 5,900 new homes built in the North Sydney LGA alone by 2029.
- 2. North Sydney Council is expecting 19,500 new residents to move into the area by 2036.

#### Abolishment will lead to disadvantage

The repercussions of the abolishment will also compromise effective representation by an elected Federal MP, to the disadvantage of the existing members of North Sydney. North Sydney has unique socioeconomic characteristics and needs that might not be adequately addressed if its residents are absorbed into neighbouring, less cohesive electorates. Furthermore, all networks, connections, organisations, social case works, etc are intrinsically linked by being part of this community since 1901. Maintaining the seat ensures continuity in addressing long-term projects and community goals.

I am a proud member of the North Sydney community. I strongly oppose the draft proposal that the federal seat of North Sydney be abolished and request for it to remain intact.

Signature

Sac & Riverview

Name & Suburb

Date: 6 July 2024

RE: Objection to the AEC's proposal to abolish the federal seat of North Sydney.

Dear Sir/Madam:

North Sydney is a well-established and high functioning community.

North Sydney has been a federal seat since federation. It is telling that we were one of the first electorates to stand up for independent representation in 2022, and one of the few to vote for an Indigenous Voice to Parliament in the 2023 referendum. This was achieved because we are a tight network of community minded individuals.

North Sydney is in fact growing!

The AEC's proposal to abolish North Sydney does not consider existing and future population growth numbers inside our electorate. The AEC has failed to consult appropriate sources for future growth numbers, including state and local planning policies, the number of developments under construction and the number of developments in the pipeline for future construction. Had they done so, we would not be on the list of possible electorates to be abolished.

Two examples of what has not been considered:

- 1. The NSW State Government plans to see 5,900 new homes built in the North Sydney LGA alone by 2029.
- 2. North Sydney Council is expecting 19,500 new residents to move into the area by 2036.

#### Abolishment will lead to disadvantage

The repercussions of the abolishment will also compromise effective representation by an elected Federal MP, to the disadvantage of the existing members of North Sydney. North Sydney has unique socioeconomic characteristics and needs that might not be adequately addressed if its residents are absorbed into neighbouring, less cohesive electorates. Furthermore, all networks, connections, organisations, social case works, etc are intrinsically linked by being part of this community since 1901. Maintaining the seat ensures continuity in addressing long-term projects and community goals.

I am a proud member of the North Sydney community. I strongly oppose the draft proposal that the federal seat of North Sydney be abolished and request for it to remain intact.

Signature

Name & Suburb

Lave Cove North, 2066

Date: 6 July 2024

RE: Objection to the AEC's proposal to abolish the federal seat of North Sydney.

Dear Sir/Madam:

North Sydney is a well-established and high functioning community.

North Sydney has been a federal seat since federation. It is telling that we were one of the first electorates to stand up for independent representation in 2022, and one of the few to vote for an Indigenous Voice to Parliament in the 2023 referendum. This was achieved because we are a tight network of community minded individuals.

### North Sydney is in fact growing!

The AEC's proposal to abolish North Sydney does not consider existing and future population growth numbers inside our electorate. The AEC has failed to consult appropriate sources for future growth numbers, including state and local planning policies, the number of developments under construction and the number of developments in the pipeline for future construction. Had they done so, we would not be on the list of possible electorates to be abolished.

Two examples of what has not been considered:

- 1. The NSW State Government plans to see 5,900 new homes built in the North Sydney LGA alone by 2029.
- 2. North Sydney Council is expecting 19,500 new residents to move into the area by 2036.

# Abolishment will lead to disadvantage

The repercussions of the abolishment will also compromise effective representation by an elected Federal MP, to the disadvantage of the existing members of North Sydney. North Sydney has unique socioeconomic characteristics and needs that might not be adequately addressed if its residents are absorbed into neighbouring, less cohesive electorates. Furthermore, all networks, connections, organisations, social case works, etc are intrinsically linked by being part of this community since 1901. Maintaining the seat ensures continuity in addressing long-term projects and community goals.

	Lyn Benson	S. Coul
Signature	Name & Suburb	

Date: 06 July 2024

RE: Objection to the AEC's proposal to abolish the federal seat of North Sydney.

Dear Sir/Madam:

# North Sydney is a well-established and high functioning community.

North Sydney has been a federal seat since federation. It is telling that we were one of the first electorates to stand up for independent representation in 2022, and one of the few to vote for an Indigenous Voice to Parliament in the 2023 referendum. This was achieved because we are a tight network of community minded individuals.

## North Sydney is in fact growing!

The AEC's proposal to abolish North Sydney does not consider existing and future population growth numbers inside our electorate. The AEC has failed to consult appropriate sources for future growth numbers, including state and local planning policies, the number of developments under construction and the number of developments in the pipeline for future construction. Had they done so, we would not be on the list of possible electorates to be abolished.

Two examples of what has not been considered:

- 1. The NSW State Government plans to see 5,900 new homes built in the North Sydney LGA alone by 2029.
- 2. North Sydney Council is expecting 19,500 new residents to move into the area by 2036.

### Abolishment will lead to disadvantage

The repercussions of the abolishment will also compromise effective representation by an elected Federal MP, to the disadvantage of the existing members of North Sydney. North Sydney has unique socioeconomic characteristics and needs that might not be adequately addressed if its residents are absorbed into neighbouring, less cohesive electorates. Furthermore, all networks, connections, organisations, social case works, etc are intrinsically linked by being part of this community since 1901. Maintaining the seat ensures continuity in addressing long-term projects and community goals.

I am a proud member of the North Sydney community. I strongly oppose the draft proposal that the federal seat of North Sydney be abolished and request for it to remain intact.

Signature Name & Suburb

Date: July 2024

RE: Objection to the AEC's proposal to abolish the federal seat of North Sydney.

Dear Sir/Madam:

North Sydney is a well-established and high functioning community.

North Sydney has been a federal seat since federation. It is telling that we were one of the first electorates to stand up for independent representation in 2022, and one of the few to vote for an Indigenous Voice to Parliament in the 2023 referendum. This was achieved because we are a tight network of community minded individuals.

North Sydney is in fact growing!

The AEC's proposal to abolish North Sydney does not consider existing and future population growth numbers inside our electorate. The AEC has failed to consult appropriate sources for future growth numbers, including state and local planning policies, the number of developments under construction and the number of developments in the pipeline for future construction. Had they done so, we would not be on the list of possible electorates to be abolished.

Two examples of what has not been considered:

- 1. The NSW State Government plans to see 5,900 new homes built in the North Sydney LGA alone by 2029.
- 2. North Sydney Council is expecting 19,500 new residents to move into the area by 2036.

### Abolishment will lead to disadvantage

The repercussions of the abolishment will also compromise effective representation by an elected Federal MP, to the disadvantage of the existing members of North Sydney. North Sydney has unique socioeconomic characteristics and needs that might not be adequately addressed if its residents are absorbed into neighbouring, less cohesive electorates. Furthermore, all networks, connections, organisations, social case works, etc are intrinsically linked by being part of this community since 1901. Maintaining the seat ensures continuity in addressing long-term projects and community goals.

I am a proud member of the North Sydney community. I strongly oppose the draft proposal that the federal seat of North Sydney be abolished and request for it to remain intact.

Signature Ernest Cay Cane Cove

Date: A July 2024

RE: Objection to the AEC's proposal to abolish the federal seat of North Sydney.

Dear Sir/Madam:

North Sydney is a well-established and high functioning community.

North Sydney has been a federal seat since federation. It is telling that we were one of the first electorates to stand up for independent representation in 2022, and one of the few to vote for an Indigenous Voice to Parliament in the 2023 referendum. This was achieved because we are a tight network of community minded individuals.

# North Sydney is in fact growing!

The AEC's proposal to abolish North Sydney does not consider existing and future population growth numbers inside our electorate. The AEC has failed to consult appropriate sources for future growth numbers, including state and local planning policies, the number of developments under construction and the number of developments in the pipeline for future construction. Had they done so, we would not be on the list of possible electorates to be abolished.

Two examples of what has not been considered:

- 1. The NSW State Government plans to see 5,900 new homes built in the North Sydney LGA alone by 2029.
- 2. North Sydney Council is expecting 19,500 new residents to move into the area by 2036.

### Abolishment will lead to disadvantage

The repercussions of the abolishment will also compromise effective representation by an elected Federal MP, to the disadvantage of the existing members of North Sydney. North Sydney has unique socioeconomic characteristics and needs that might not be adequately addressed if its residents are absorbed into neighbouring, less cohesive electorates. Furthermore, all networks, connections, organisations, social case works, etc are intrinsically linked by being part of this community since 1901. Maintaining the seat ensures continuity in addressing long-term projects and community goals.

I am a proud member of the North Sydney community. I strongly oppose the draft proposal that the federal seat of North Sydney be abolished and request for it to remain intact.

J. BLAKE LANE COUP Name & Suburb 35 yrs.

Date: 6 July 2024

RE: Objection to the AEC's proposal to abolish the federal seat of North Sydney.

Dear Sir/Madam:

# North Sydney is a well-established and high functioning community.

North Sydney has been a federal seat since federation. It is telling that we were one of the first electorates to stand up for independent representation in 2022, and one of the few to vote for an Indigenous Voice to Parliament in the 2023 referendum. This was achieved because we are a tight network of community minded individuals.

## North Sydney is in fact growing!

The AEC's proposal to abolish North Sydney does not consider existing and future population growth numbers inside our electorate. The AEC has failed to consult appropriate sources for future growth numbers, including state and local planning policies, the number of developments under construction and the number of developments in the pipeline for future construction. Had they done so, we would not be on the list of possible electorates to be abolished.

Two examples of what has not been considered:

- 1. The NSW State Government plans to see 5,900 new homes built in the North Sydney LGA alone by 2029.
- 2. North Sydney Council is expecting 19,500 new residents to move into the area by 2036.

#### Abolishment will lead to disadvantage

The repercussions of the abolishment will also compromise effective representation by an elected Federal MP, to the disadvantage of the existing members of North Sydney. North Sydney has unique socioeconomic characteristics and needs that might not be adequately addressed if its residents are absorbed into neighbouring, less cohesive electorates. Furthermore, all networks, connections, organisations, social case works, etc are intrinsically linked by being part of this community since 1901. Maintaining the seat ensures continuity in addressing long-term projects and community goals.

I am a proud member of the North Sydney community. I strongly oppose the draft proposal that the federal seat of North Sydney be abolished and request for it to remain intact.

Signature J Suburb Suburb

Date: \_\_\_\_ July 2024

RE: Objection to the AEC's proposal to abolish the federal seat of North Sydney.

Dear Sir/Madam:

North Sydney is a well-established and high functioning community.

North Sydney has been a federal seat since federation. It is telling that we were one of the first electorates to stand up for independent representation in 2022, and one of the few to vote for an Indigenous Voice to Parliament in the 2023 referendum. This was achieved because we are a tight network of community minded individuals.

North Sydney is in fact growing!

The AEC's proposal to abolish North Sydney does not consider existing and future population growth numbers inside our electorate. The AEC has failed to consult appropriate sources for future growth numbers, including state and local planning policies, the number of developments under construction and the number of developments in the pipeline for future construction. Had they done so, we would not be on the list of possible electorates to be abolished.

Two examples of what has not been considered:

- 1. The NSW State Government plans to see 5,900 new homes built in the North Sydney LGA alone by 2029.
- 2. North Sydney Council is expecting 19,500 new residents to move into the area by 2036.

### Abolishment will lead to disadvantage

The repercussions of the abolishment will also compromise effective representation by an elected Federal MP, to the disadvantage of the existing members of North Sydney. North Sydney has unique socioeconomic characteristics and needs that might not be adequately addressed if its residents are absorbed into neighbouring, less cohesive electorates. Furthermore, all networks, connections, organisations, social case works, etc are intrinsically linked by being part of this community since 1901. Maintaining the seat ensures continuity in addressing long-term projects and community goals.



Date: 6 July 2024

RE: Objection to the AEC's proposal to abolish the federal seat of North Sydney.

Dear Sir/Madam:

North Sydney is a well-established and high functioning community.

North Sydney has been a federal seat since federation. It is telling that we were one of the first electorates to stand up for independent representation in 2022, and one of the few to vote for an Indigenous Voice to Parliament in the 2023 referendum. This was achieved because we are a tight network of community minded individuals.

North Sydney is in fact growing!

The AEC's proposal to abolish North Sydney does not consider existing and future population growth numbers inside our electorate. The AEC has failed to consult appropriate sources for future growth numbers, including state and local planning policies, the number of developments under construction and the number of developments in the pipeline for future construction. Had they done so, we would not be on the list of possible electorates to be abolished.

Two examples of what has not been considered:

- 1. The NSW State Government plans to see 5,900 new homes built in the North Sydney LGA alone by 2029.
- 2. North Sydney Council is expecting 19,500 new residents to move into the area by 2036.

### Abolishment will lead to disadvantage

The repercussions of the abolishment will also compromise effective representation by an elected Federal MP, to the disadvantage of the existing members of North Sydney. North Sydney has unique socioeconomic characteristics and needs that might not be adequately addressed if its residents are absorbed into neighbouring, less cohesive electorates. Furthermore, all networks, connections, organisations, social case works, etc are intrinsically linked by being part of this community since 1901. Maintaining the seat ensures continuity in addressing long-term projects and community goals.

I am a proud member of the North Sydney community. I strongly oppose the draft proposal that the federal seat of North Sydney be abolished and request for it to remain intact.

Signa

Name & Suburb

Date: 🗘 July 2024

RE: Objection to the AEC's proposal to abolish the federal seat of North Sydney.

Dear Sir/Madam:

# North Sydney is a well-established and high functioning community.

North Sydney has been a federal seat since federation. It is telling that we were one of the first electorates to stand up for independent representation in 2022, and one of the few to vote for an Indigenous Voice to Parliament in the 2023 referendum. This was achieved because we are a tight network of community minded individuals.

### North Sydney is in fact growing!

The AEC's proposal to abolish North Sydney does not consider existing and future population growth numbers inside our electorate. The AEC has failed to consult appropriate sources for future growth numbers, including state and local planning policies, the number of developments under construction and the number of developments in the pipeline for future construction. Had they done so, we would not be on the list of possible electorates to be abolished.

Two examples of what has not been considered:

- 1. The NSW State Government plans to see 5,900 new homes built in the North Sydney LGA alone by 2029.
- 2. North Sydney Council is expecting 19,500 new residents to move into the area by 2036.

## Abolishment will lead to disadvantage

The repercussions of the abolishment will also compromise effective representation by an elected Federal MP, to the disadvantage of the existing members of North Sydney. North Sydney has unique socioeconomic characteristics and needs that might not be adequately addressed if its residents are absorbed into neighbouring, less cohesive electorates. Furthermore, all networks, connections, organisations, social case works, etc are intrinsically linked by being part of this community since 1901. Maintaining the seat ensures continuity in addressing long-term projects and community goals.

	KARIM HADDAD	CAMPCOUTE
Signature	Name & Suburb	

Date: 6 July 2024

RE: Objection to the AEC's proposal to abolish the federal seat of North Sydney.

Dear Sir/Madam:

# North Sydney is a well-established and high functioning community.

North Sydney has been a federal seat since federation. It is telling that we were one of the first electorates to stand up for independent representation in 2022, and one of the few to vote for an Indigenous Voice to Parliament in the 2023 referendum. This was achieved because we are a tight network of community minded individuals.

# North Sydney is in fact growing!

The AEC's proposal to abolish North Sydney does not consider existing and future population growth numbers inside our electorate. The AEC has failed to consult appropriate sources for future growth numbers, including state and local planning policies, the number of developments under construction and the number of developments in the pipeline for future construction. Had they done so, we would not be on the list of possible electorates to be abolished.

Two examples of what has not been considered:

- 1. The NSW State Government plans to see 5,900 new homes built in the North Sydney LGA alone by 2029.
- 2. North Sydney Council is expecting 19,500 new residents to move into the area by 2036.

## Abolishment will lead to disadvantage

The repercussions of the abolishment will also compromise effective representation by an elected Federal MP, to the disadvantage of the existing members of North Sydney. North Sydney has unique socioeconomic characteristics and needs that might not be adequately addressed if its residents are absorbed into neighbouring, less cohesive electorates. Furthermore, all networks, connections, organisations, social case works, etc are intrinsically linked by being part of this community since 1901. Maintaining the seat ensures continuity in addressing long-term projects and community goals.

I am a proud member of the North Sydney community. I strongly oppose the draft proposal that the federal seat of North Sydney be abolished and request for it to remain intact.

Signal CELINE HADDAD LANE COVE
Name & Suburb
NORTH

Date: 5 July 2024

RE: Objection to the AEC's proposal to abolish the federal seat of North Sydney.

Dear Sir/Madam:

North Sydney is a well-established and high functioning community.

North Sydney has been a federal seat since federation. It is telling that we were one of the first electorates to stand up for independent representation in 2022, and one of the few to vote for an Indigenous Voice to Parliament in the 2023 referendum. This was achieved because we are a tight network of community minded individuals.

North Sydney is in fact growing!

The AEC's proposal to abolish North Sydney does not consider existing and future population growth numbers inside our electorate. The AEC has failed to consult appropriate sources for future growth numbers, including state and local planning policies, the number of developments under construction and the number of developments in the pipeline for future construction. Had they done so, we would not be on the list of possible electorates to be abolished.

Two examples of what has not been considered:

1. The NSW State Government plans to see 5,900 new homes built in the North Sydney LGA alone by 2029.

2. North Sydney Council is expecting 19,500 new residents to move into the area by 2036.

Abolishment will lead to disadvantage

The repercussions of the abolishment will also compromise effective representation by an elected Federal MP, to the disadvantage of the existing members of North Sydney. North Sydney has unique socioeconomic characteristics and needs that might not be adequately addressed if its residents are absorbed into neighbouring, less cohesive electorates. Furthermore, all networks, connections, organisations, social case works, etc are intrinsically linked by being part of this community since 1901. Maintaining the seat ensures continuity in addressing long-term projects and community goals.

	_	
	Sarah Lennard.	Lane Cove.
Signature	Name & Suburb	

Date: 6 July 2024

RE: Objection to the AEC's proposal to abolish the federal seat of North Sydney.

Dear Sir/Madam:

# North Sydney is a well-established and high functioning community.

North Sydney has been a federal seat since federation. It is telling that we were one of the first electorates to stand up for independent representation in 2022, and one of the few to vote for an Indigenous Voice to Parliament in the 2023 referendum. This was achieved because we are a tight network of community minded individuals.

# North Sydney is in fact growing!

The AEC's proposal to abolish North Sydney does not consider existing and future population growth numbers inside our electorate. The AEC has failed to consult appropriate sources for future growth numbers, including state and local planning policies, the number of developments under construction and the number of developments in the pipeline for future construction. Had they done so, we would not be on the list of possible electorates to be abolished.

Two examples of what has not been considered:

- 1. The NSW State Government plans to see 5,900 new homes built in the North Sydney LGA alone by 2029.
- 2. North Sydney Council is expecting 19,500 new residents to move into the area by 2036.

#### Abolishment will lead to disadvantage

The repercussions of the abolishment will also compromise effective representation by an elected Federal MP, to the disadvantage of the existing members of North Sydney. North Sydney has unique socioeconomic characteristics and needs that might not be adequately addressed if its residents are absorbed into neighbouring, less cohesive electorates. Furthermore, all networks, connections, organisations, social case works, etc are intrinsically linked by being part of this community since 1901. Maintaining the seat ensures continuity in addressing long-term projects and community goals.

I am a proud member of the North Sydney community. I strongly oppose the draft proposal that the federal seat of North Sydney be abolished and request for it to remain intact.

LANE COVE

CRITIC (ENNARD

Name & Suburb

Date: 6/ July 2024

RE: Objection to the AEC's proposal to abolish the federal seat of North Sydney.

Dear Sir/Madam:

# North Sydney is a well-established and high functioning community.

North Sydney has been a federal seat since federation. It is telling that we were one of the first electorates to stand up for independent representation in 2022, and one of the few to vote for an Indigenous Voice to Parliament in the 2023 referendum. This was achieved because we are a tight network of community minded individuals.

### North Sydney is in fact growing!

The AEC's proposal to abolish North Sydney does not consider existing and future population growth numbers inside our electorate. The AEC has failed to consult appropriate sources for future growth numbers, including state and local planning policies, the number of developments under construction and the number of developments in the pipeline for future construction. Had they done so, we would not be on the list of possible electorates to be abolished.

Two examples of what has not been considered:

- 1. The NSW State Government plans to see 5,900 new homes built in the North Sydney LGA alone by 2029.
- 2. North Sydney Council is expecting 19,500 new residents to move into the area by 2036.

### Abolishment will lead to disadvantage

The repercussions of the abolishment will also compromise effective representation by an elected Federal MP, to the disadvantage of the existing members of North Sydney. North Sydney has unique socioeconomic characteristics and needs that might not be adequately addressed if its residents are absorbed into neighbouring, less cohesive electorates. Furthermore, all networks, connections, organisations, social case works, etc are intrinsically linked by being part of this community since 1901. Maintaining the seat ensures continuity in addressing long-term projects and community goals.

I am a proud member of the North Sydney community. I strongly oppose the draft proposal that the federal seat of North Sydney be abolished and request for it to remain intact.

Signature

Signature

Signature

Signature

Signature

Signature

Date: 6 July 2024

RE: Objection to the AEC's proposal to abolish the federal seat of North Sydney.

Dear Sir/Madam:

## North Sydney is a well-established and high functioning community.

North Sydney has been a federal seat since federation. It is telling that we were one of the first electorates to stand up for independent representation in 2022, and one of the few to vote for an Indigenous Voice to Parliament in the 2023 referendum. This was achieved because we are a tight network of community minded individuals.

## North Sydney is in fact growing!

The AEC's proposal to abolish North Sydney does not consider existing and future population growth numbers inside our electorate. The AEC has failed to consult appropriate sources for future growth numbers, including state and local planning policies, the number of developments under construction and the number of developments in the pipeline for future construction. Had they done so, we would not be on the list of possible electorates to be abolished.

Two examples of what has not been considered:

- 1. The NSW State Government plans to see 5,900 new homes built in the North Sydney LGA alone by 2029.
- 2. North Sydney Council is expecting 19,500 new residents to move into the area by 2036.

## Abolishment will lead to disadvantage

The repercussions of the abolishment will also compromise effective representation by an elected Federal MP, to the disadvantage of the existing members of North Sydney. North Sydney has unique socioeconomic characteristics and needs that might not be adequately addressed if its residents are absorbed into neighbouring, less cohesive electorates. Furthermore, all networks, connections, organisations, social case works, etc are intrinsically linked by being part of this community since 1901. Maintaining the seat ensures continuity in addressing long-term projects and community goals.

	Avjali Bisht Lane Cove.
Signature	Name & Suburb

Date: 06 July 2024

RE: Objection to the AEC's proposal to abolish the federal seat of North Sydney.

Dear Sir/Madam:

North Sydney is a well-established and high functioning community.

North Sydney has been a federal seat since federation. It is telling that we were one of the first electorates to stand up for independent representation in 2022, and one of the few to vote for an Indigenous Voice to Parliament in the 2023 referendum. This was achieved because we are a tight network of community minded individuals.

North Sydney is in fact growing!

The AEC's proposal to abolish North Sydney does not consider existing and future population growth numbers inside our electorate. The AEC has failed to consult appropriate sources for future growth numbers, including state and local planning policies, the number of developments under construction and the number of developments in the pipeline for future construction. Had they done so, we would not be on the list of possible electorates to be abolished.

Two examples of what has not been considered:

1. The NSW State Government plans to see 5,900 new homes built in the North Sydney LGA alone by 2029.

2. North Sydney Council is expecting 19,500 new residents to move into the area by 2036.

Abolishment will lead to disadvantage

The repercussions of the abolishment will also compromise effective representation by an elected Federal MP, to the disadvantage of the existing members of North Sydney. North Sydney has unique socioeconomic characteristics and needs that might not be adequately addressed if its residents are absorbed into neighbouring, less cohesive electorates. Furthermore, all networks, connections, organisations, social case works, etc are intrinsically linked by being part of this community since 1901. Maintaining the seat ensures continuity in addressing long-term projects and community goals.

	Cassandra Wilson	Lane Cove
Signature	Name & Suburb	

Date: 6 July 2024

RE: Objection to the AEC's proposal to abolish the federal seat of North Sydney.

Dear Sir/Madam:

# North Sydney is a well-established and high functioning community.

North Sydney has been a federal seat since federation. It is telling that we were one of the first electorates to stand up for independent representation in 2022, and one of the few to vote for an Indigenous Voice to Parliament in the 2023 referendum. This was achieved because we are a tight network of community minded individuals.

# North Sydney is in fact growing!

The AEC's proposal to abolish North Sydney does not consider existing and future population growth numbers inside our electorate. The AEC has failed to consult appropriate sources for future growth numbers, including state and local planning policies, the number of developments under construction and the number of developments in the pipeline for future construction. Had they done so, we would not be on the list of possible electorates to be abolished.

Two examples of what has not been considered:

- 1. The NSW State Government plans to see 5,900 new homes built in the North Sydney LGA alone by 2029.
- 2. North Sydney Council is expecting 19,500 new residents to move into the area by 2036.

### Abolishment will lead to disadvantage

The repercussions of the abolishment will also compromise effective representation by an elected Federal MP, to the disadvantage of the existing members of North Sydney. North Sydney has unique socioeconomic characteristics and needs that might not be adequately addressed if its residents are absorbed into neighbouring, less cohesive electorates. Furthermore, all networks, connections, organisations, social case works, etc are intrinsically linked by being part of this community since 1901. Maintaining the seat ensures continuity in addressing long-term projects and community goals.



Date: 6 July 2024

RE: Objection to the AEC's proposal to abolish the federal seat of North Sydney.

Dear Sir/Madam:

North Sydney is a well-established and high functioning community.

North Sydney has been a federal seat since federation. It is telling that we were one of the first electorates to stand up for independent representation in 2022, and one of the few to vote for an Indigenous Voice to Parliament in the 2023 referendum. This was achieved because we are a tight network of community minded individuals.

North Sydney is in fact growing!

The AEC's proposal to abolish North Sydney does not consider existing and future population growth numbers inside our electorate. The AEC has failed to consult appropriate sources for future growth numbers, including state and local planning policies, the number of developments under construction and the number of developments in the pipeline for future construction. Had they done so, we would not be on the list of possible electorates to be abolished.

Two examples of what has not been considered:

- 1. The NSW State Government plans to see 5,900 new homes built in the North Sydney LGA alone by 2029.
- 2. North Sydney Council is expecting 19,500 new residents to move into the area by 2036.

### Abolishment will lead to disadvantage

The repercussions of the abolishment will also compromise effective representation by an elected Federal MP, to the disadvantage of the existing members of North Sydney. North Sydney has unique socioeconomic characteristics and needs that might not be adequately addressed if its residents are absorbed into neighbouring, less cohesive electorates. Furthermore, all networks, connections, organisations, social case works, etc are intrinsically linked by being part of this community since 1901. Maintaining the seat ensures continuity in addressing long-term projects and community goals.

	Pette, Leumann	Lane Cove	1
Signature	Name & Suburb		

Date: 🔬 July 2024

RE: Objection to the AEC's proposal to abolish the federal seat of North Sydney.

Dear Sir/Madam:

North Sydney is a well-established and high functioning community.

North Sydney has been a federal seat since federation. It is telling that we were one of the first electorates to stand up for independent representation in 2022, and one of the few to vote for an Indigenous Voice to Parliament in the 2023 referendum. This was achieved because we are a tight network of community minded individuals.

# North Sydney is in fact growing!

The AEC's proposal to abolish North Sydney does not consider existing and future population growth numbers inside our electorate. The AEC has failed to consult appropriate sources for future growth numbers, including state and local planning policies, the number of developments under construction and the number of developments in the pipeline for future construction. Had they done so, we would not be on the list of possible electorates to be abolished.

Two examples of what has not been considered:

- 1. The NSW State Government plans to see 5,900 new homes built in the North Sydney LGA alone by 2029.
- 2. North Sydney Council is expecting 19,500 new residents to move into the area by 2036.

# Abolishment will lead to disadvantage

The repercussions of the abolishment will also compromise effective representation by an elected Federal MP, to the disadvantage of the existing members of North Sydney. North Sydney has unique socioeconomic characteristics and needs that might not be adequately addressed if its residents are absorbed into neighbouring, less cohesive electorates. Furthermore, all networks, connections, organisations, social case works, etc are intrinsically linked by being part of this community since 1901. Maintaining the seat ensures continuity in addressing long-term projects and community goals.

I am a proud member of the North Sydney community. I strongly oppose the draft proposal that the federal seat of North Sydney be abolished and request for it to remain intact.

Name & Suburb

Date: 6 July 2024

RE: Objection to the AEC's proposal to abolish the federal seat of North Sydney.

Dear Sir/Madam:

North Sydney is a well-established and high functioning community.

North Sydney has been a federal seat since federation. It is telling that we were one of the first electorates to stand up for independent representation in 2022, and one of the few to vote for an Indigenous Voice to Parliament in the 2023 referendum. This was achieved because we are a tight network of community minded individuals.

# North Sydney is in fact growing!

The AEC's proposal to abolish North Sydney does not consider existing and future population growth numbers inside our electorate. The AEC has failed to consult appropriate sources for future growth numbers, including state and local planning policies, the number of developments under construction and the number of developments in the pipeline for future construction. Had they done so, we would not be on the list of possible electorates to be abolished.

Two examples of what has not been considered:

- 1. The NSW State Government plans to see 5,900 new homes built in the North Sydney LGA alone by 2029.
- 2. North Sydney Council is expecting 19,500 new residents to move into the area by 2036.

### Abolishment will lead to disadvantage

The repercussions of the abolishment will also compromise effective representation by an elected Federal MP, to the disadvantage of the existing members of North Sydney. North Sydney has unique socioeconomic characteristics and needs that might not be adequately addressed if its residents are absorbed into neighbouring, less cohesive electorates. Furthermore, all networks, connections, organisations, social case works, etc are intrinsically linked by being part of this community since 1901. Maintaining the seat ensures continuity in addressing long-term projects and community goals.

		Adv	ian	TVA	othe
Signature		Name & St	uburb		(
			10	ne	Cove
Adriai	say	"heave the stulking thin	g a	lore.	/ 4

Date: 66 July 2024

RE: Objection to the AEC's proposal to abolish the federal seat of North Sydney.

Dear Sir/Madam:

North Sydney is a well-established and high functioning community.

North Sydney has been a federal seat since federation. It is telling that we were one of the first electorates to stand up for independent representation in 2022, and one of the few to vote for an Indigenous Voice to Parliament in the 2023 referendum. This was achieved because we are a tight network of community minded individuals.

North Sydney is in fact growing!

The AEC's proposal to abolish North Sydney does not consider existing and future population growth numbers inside our electorate. The AEC has failed to consult appropriate sources for future growth numbers, including state and local planning policies, the number of developments under construction and the number of developments in the pipeline for future construction. Had they done so, we would not be on the list of possible electorates to be abolished.

Two examples of what has not been considered:

- 1. The NSW State Government plans to see 5,900 new homes built in the North Sydney LGA alone by 2029.
- 2. North Sydney Council is expecting 19,500 new residents to move into the area by 2036.

### Abolishment will lead to disadvantage

The repercussions of the abolishment will also compromise effective representation by an elected Federal MP, to the disadvantage of the existing members of North Sydney. North Sydney has unique socioeconomic characteristics and needs that might not be adequately addressed if its residents are absorbed into neighbouring, less cohesive electorates. Furthermore, all networks, connections, organisations, social case works, etc are intrinsically linked by being part of this community since 1901. Maintaining the seat ensures continuity in addressing long-term projects and community goals.

		Simon	Bro	ent
Signature	_	Name & Subu		NORTH

Date: 6 July 2024

RE: Objection to the AEC's proposal to abolish the federal seat of North Sydney.

Dear Sir/Madam:

North Sydney is a well-established and high functioning community.

North Sydney has been a federal seat since federation. It is telling that we were one of the first electorates to stand up for independent representation in 2022, and one of the few to vote for an Indigenous Voice to Parliament in the 2023 referendum. This was achieved because we are a tight network of community minded individuals.

North Sydney is in fact growing!

The AEC's proposal to abolish North Sydney does not consider existing and future population growth numbers inside our electorate. The AEC has failed to consult appropriate sources for future growth numbers, including state and local planning policies, the number of developments under construction and the number of developments in the pipeline for future construction. Had they done so, we would not be on the list of possible electorates to be abolished.

Two examples of what has not been considered:

- 1. The NSW State Government plans to see 5,900 new homes built in the North Sydney LGA alone by 2029.
- 2. North Sydney Council is expecting 19,500 new residents to move into the area by 2036.

Abolishment will lead to disadvantage

The repercussions of the abolishment will also compromise effective representation by an elected Federal MP, to the disadvantage of the existing members of North Sydney. North Sydney has unique socioeconomic characteristics and needs that might not be adequately addressed if its residents are absorbed into neighbouring, less cohesive electorates. Furthermore, all networks, connections, organisations, social case works, etc are intrinsically linked by being part of this community since 1901. Maintaining the seat ensures continuity in addressing long-term projects and community goals.

I am a proud member of the North Sydney community. I strongly oppose the draft proposal that the federal seat of North Sydney be abolished and request for it to remain intact.

Signature

Name & Suburb

North Dane Conf

Date: 1/2 July 2024

RE: Objection to the AEC's proposal to abolish the federal seat of North Sydney.

Dear Sir/Madam:

### North Sydney is a well-established and high functioning community.

North Sydney has been a federal seat since federation. It is telling that we were one of the first electorates to stand up for independent representation in 2022, and one of the few to vote for an Indigenous Voice to Parliament in the 2023 referendum. This was achieved because we are a tight network of community minded individuals.

### North Sydney is in fact growing!

The AEC's proposal to abolish North Sydney does not consider existing and future population growth numbers inside our electorate. The AEC has failed to consult appropriate sources for future growth numbers, including state and local planning policies, the number of developments under construction and the number of developments in the pipeline for future construction. Had they done so, we would not be on the list of possible electorates to be abolished.

Two examples of what has not been considered:

- 1. The NSW State Government plans to see 5,900 new homes built in the North Sydney LGA alone by 2029.
- 2. North Sydney Council is expecting 19,500 new residents to move into the area by 2036.

#### Abolishment will lead to disadvantage

The repercussions of the abolishment will also compromise effective representation by an elected Federal MP, to the disadvantage of the existing members of North Sydney. North Sydney has unique socioeconomic characteristics and needs that might not be adequately addressed if its residents are absorbed into neighbouring, less cohesive electorates. Furthermore, all networks, connections, organisations, social case works, etc are intrinsically linked by being part of this community since 1901. Maintaining the seat ensures continuity in addressing long-term projects and community goals.

I am a proud member of the North Sydney community. I strongly oppose the draft proposal that the federal seat of North Sydney be abolished and request for it to remain intact.

Signature Name & Suburb

Date: 6 July 2024

RE: Objection to the AEC's proposal to abolish the federal seat of North Sydney.

Dear Sir/Madam:

North Sydney is a well-established and high functioning community.

North Sydney has been a federal seat since federation. It is telling that we were one of the first electorates to stand up for independent representation in 2022, and one of the few to vote for an Indigenous Voice to Parliament in the 2023 referendum. This was achieved because we are a tight network of community minded individuals.

### North Sydney is in fact growing!

The AEC's proposal to abolish North Sydney does not consider existing and future population growth numbers inside our electorate. The AEC has failed to consult appropriate sources for future growth numbers, including state and local planning policies, the number of developments under construction and the number of developments in the pipeline for future construction. Had they done so, we would not be on the list of possible electorates to be abolished.

Two examples of what has not been considered:

- 1. The NSW State Government plans to see 5,900 new homes built in the North Sydney LGA alone by 2029.
- 2. North Sydney Council is expecting 19,500 new residents to move into the area by 2036.

#### Abolishment will lead to disadvantage

The repercussions of the abolishment will also compromise effective representation by an elected Federal MP, to the disadvantage of the existing members of North Sydney. North Sydney has unique socioeconomic characteristics and needs that might not be adequately addressed if its residents are absorbed into neighbouring, less cohesive electorates. Furthermore, all networks, connections, organisations, social case works, etc are intrinsically linked by being part of this community since 1901. Maintaining the seat ensures continuity in addressing long-term projects and community goals.



Date: \_/\_ July 2024

RE: Objection to the AEC's proposal to abolish the federal seat of North Sydney.

Dear Sir/Madam:

North Sydney is a well-established and high functioning community.

North Sydney has been a federal seat since federation. It is telling that we were one of the first electorates to stand up for independent representation in 2022, and one of the few to vote for an Indigenous Voice to Parliament in the 2023 referendum. This was achieved because we are a tight network of community minded individuals.

North Sydney is in fact growing!

The AEC's proposal to abolish North Sydney does not consider existing and future population growth numbers inside our electorate. The AEC has failed to consult appropriate sources for future growth numbers, including state and local planning policies, the number of developments under construction and the number of developments in the pipeline for future construction. Had they done so, we would not be on the list of possible electorates to be abolished.

Two examples of what has not been considered:

- 1. The NSW State Government plans to see 5,900 new homes built in the North Sydney LGA alone by 2029.
- 2. North Sydney Council is expecting 19,500 new residents to move into the area by 2036.

### Abolishment will lead to disadvantage

The repercussions of the abolishment will also compromise effective representation by an elected Federal MP, to the disadvantage of the existing members of North Sydney. North Sydney has unique socioeconomic characteristics and needs that might not be adequately addressed if its residents are absorbed into neighbouring, less cohesive electorates. Furthermore, all networks, connections, organisations, social case works, etc are intrinsically linked by being part of this community since 1901. Maintaining the seat ensures continuity in addressing long-term projects and community goals.

	1		
	KEBECEN L.	LANE	COVE
Signatur <del>e</del>	Name & Suburb		

Date: / July 2024

RE: Objection to the AEC's proposal to abolish the federal seat of North Sydney.

Dear Sir/Madam:

North Sydney is a well-established and high functioning community.

North Sydney has been a federal seat since federation. It is telling that we were one of the first electorates to stand up for independent representation in 2022, and one of the few to vote for an Indigenous Voice to Parliament in the 2023 referendum. This was achieved because we are a tight network of community minded individuals.

North Sydney is in fact growing!

The AEC's proposal to abolish North Sydney does not consider existing and future population growth numbers inside our electorate. The AEC has failed to consult appropriate sources for future growth numbers, including state and local planning policies, the number of developments under construction and the number of developments in the pipeline for future construction. Had they done so, we would not be on the list of possible electorates to be abolished.

Two examples of what has not been considered:

- 1. The NSW State Government plans to see 5,900 new homes built in the North Sydney LGA alone by 2029.
- 2. North Sydney Council is expecting 19,500 new residents to move into the area by 2036.

# Abolishment will lead to disadvantage

The repercussions of the abolishment will also compromise effective representation by an elected Federal MP, to the disadvantage of the existing members of North Sydney. North Sydney has unique socioeconomic characteristics and needs that might not be adequately addressed if its residents are absorbed into neighbouring, less cohesive electorates. Furthermore, all networks, connections, organisations, social case works, etc are intrinsically linked by being part of this community since 1901. Maintaining the seat ensures continuity in addressing long-term projects and community goals.

I am a proud member of the North Sydney community. I strongly oppose the draft proposal that the federal seat of North Sydney be abolished and request for it to remain intact.

Signature ANE COVE
Name & Suburb

Date: 6 July 2024

RE: Objection to the AEC's proposal to abolish the federal seat of North Sydney.

Dear Sir/Madam:

## North Sydney is a well-established and high functioning community.

North Sydney has been a federal seat since federation. It is telling that we were one of the first electorates to stand up for independent representation in 2022, and one of the few to vote for an Indigenous Voice to Parliament in the 2023 referendum. This was achieved because we are a tight network of community minded individuals.

### North Sydney is in fact growing!

The AEC's proposal to abolish North Sydney does not consider existing and future population growth numbers inside our electorate. The AEC has failed to consult appropriate sources for future growth numbers, including state and local planning policies, the number of developments under construction and the number of developments in the pipeline for future construction. Had they done so, we would not be on the list of possible electorates to be abolished.

Two examples of what has not been considered:

- 1. The NSW State Government plans to see 5,900 new homes built in the North Sydney LGA alone by 2029.
- 2. North Sydney Council is expecting 19,500 new residents to move into the area by 2036.

#### Abolishment will lead to disadvantage

The repercussions of the abolishment will also compromise effective representation by an elected Federal MP, to the disadvantage of the existing members of North Sydney. North Sydney has unique socioeconomic characteristics and needs that might not be adequately addressed if its residents are absorbed into neighbouring, less cohesive electorates. Furthermore, all networks, connections, organisations, social case works, etc are intrinsically linked by being part of this community since 1901. Maintaining the seat ensures continuity in addressing long-term projects and community goals.

I am a proud member of the North Sydney community. I strongly oppose the draft proposal that the federal seat of North Sydney be abolished and request for it to remain intact.

Signature

LANE COVE WEST

Aaryash Bharadwaj

Name & Suburb

Date: 6 July 2024

RE: Objection to the AEC's proposal to abolish the federal seat of North Sydney.

Dear Sir/Madam:

# North Sydney is a well-established and high functioning community.

North Sydney has been a federal seat since federation. It is telling that we were one of the first electorates to stand up for independent representation in 2022, and one of the few to vote for an Indigenous Voice to Parliament in the 2023 referendum. This was achieved because we are a tight network of community minded individuals.

# North Sydney is in fact growing!

The AEC's proposal to abolish North Sydney does not consider existing and future population growth numbers inside our electorate. The AEC has failed to consult appropriate sources for future growth numbers, including state and local planning policies, the number of developments under construction and the number of developments in the pipeline for future construction. Had they done so, we would not be on the list of possible electorates to be abolished.

Two examples of what has not been considered:

- 1. The NSW State Government plans to see 5,900 new homes built in the North Sydney LGA alone by 2029.
- 2. North Sydney Council is expecting 19,500 new residents to move into the area by 2036.

### Abolishment will lead to disadvantage

The repercussions of the abolishment will also compromise effective representation by an elected Federal MP, to the disadvantage of the existing members of North Sydney. North Sydney has unique socioeconomic characteristics and needs that might not be adequately addressed if its residents are absorbed into neighbouring, less cohesive electorates. Furthermore, all networks, connections, organisations, social case works, etc are intrinsically linked by being part of this community since 1901. Maintaining the seat ensures continuity in addressing long-term projects and community goals.

I am a proud member of the North Sydney community. I strongly oppose the draft proposal that the federal seat of North Sydney be abolished and request for it to remain intact.

Signat Signat Name & Suburb

Date: 06 July 2024

RE: Objection to the AEC's proposal to abolish the federal seat of North Sydney.

Dear Sir/Madam:

# North Sydney is a well-established and high functioning community.

North Sydney has been a federal seat since federation. It is telling that we were one of the first electorates to stand up for independent representation in 2022, and one of the few to vote for an Indigenous Voice to Parliament in the 2023 referendum. This was achieved because we are a tight network of community minded individuals.

## North Sydney is in fact growing!


The AEC's proposal to abolish North Sydney does not consider existing and future population growth numbers inside our electorate. The AEC has failed to consult appropriate sources for future growth numbers, including state and local planning policies, the number of developments under construction and the number of developments in the pipeline for future construction. Had they done so, we would not be on the list of possible electorates to be abolished.

Two examples of what has not been considered:

- 1. The NSW State Government plans to see 5,900 new homes built in the North Sydney LGA alone by 2029.
- 2. North Sydney Council is expecting 19,500 new residents to move into the area by 2036.

### Abolishment will lead to disadvantage

The repercussions of the abolishment will also compromise effective representation by an elected Federal MP, to the disadvantage of the existing members of North Sydney. North Sydney has unique socioeconomic characteristics and needs that might not be adequately addressed if its residents are absorbed into neighbouring, less cohesive electorates. Furthermore, all networks, connections, organisations, social case works, etc are intrinsically linked by being part of this community since 1901. Maintaining the seat ensures continuity in addressing long-term projects and community goals.



Date: July 2024

RE: Objection to the AEC's proposal to abolish the federal seat of North Sydney.

Dear Sir/Madam:

# North Sydney is a well-established and high functioning community.

North Sydney has been a federal seat since federation. It is telling that we were one of the first electorates to stand up for independent representation in 2022, and one of the few to vote for an Indigenous Voice to Parliament in the 2023 referendum. This was achieved because we are a tight network of community minded individuals.

# North Sydney is in fact growing!

The AEC's proposal to abolish North Sydney does not consider existing and future population growth numbers inside our electorate. The AEC has failed to consult appropriate sources for future growth numbers, including state and local planning policies, the number of developments under construction and the number of developments in the pipeline for future construction. Had they done so, we would not be on the list of possible electorates to be abolished.

Two examples of what has not been considered:

- 1. The NSW State Government plans to see 5,900 new homes built in the North Sydney LGA alone by 2029.
- 2. North Sydney Council is expecting 19,500 new residents to move into the area by 2036.

### Abolishment will lead to disadvantage

The repercussions of the abolishment will also compromise effective representation by an elected Federal MP, to the disadvantage of the existing members of North Sydney. North Sydney has unique socioeconomic characteristics and needs that might not be adequately addressed if its residents are absorbed into neighbouring, less cohesive electorates. Furthermore, all networks, connections, organisations, social case works, etc are intrinsically linked by being part of this community since 1901. Maintaining the seat ensures continuity in addressing long-term projects and community goals.

I am a proud member of the North Sydney community. I strongly oppose the draft proposal that the federal seat of North Sydney be abolished and request for it to remain intact.

Sign

Name & Suburb

Frances Frish Levocare

Date: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_ July 2024

RE: Objection to the AEC's proposal to abolish the federal seat of North Sydney.

Dear Sir/Madam:

North Sydney is a well-established and high functioning community.

North Sydney has been a federal seat since federation. It is telling that we were one of the first electorates to stand up for independent representation in 2022, and one of the few to vote for an Indigenous Voice to Parliament in the 2023 referendum. This was achieved because we are a tight network of community minded individuals.

North Sydney is in fact growing!

The AEC's proposal to abolish North Sydney does not consider existing and future population growth numbers inside our electorate. The AEC has failed to consult appropriate sources for future growth numbers, including state and local planning policies, the number of developments under construction and the number of developments in the pipeline for future construction. Had they done so, we would not be on the list of possible electorates to be abolished.

Two examples of what has not been considered:

- 1. The NSW State Government plans to see 5,900 new homes built in the North Sydney LGA alone by 2029.
- 2. North Sydney Council is expecting 19,500 new residents to move into the area by 2036.

### Abolishment will lead to disadvantage

The repercussions of the abolishment will also compromise effective representation by an elected Federal MP, to the disadvantage of the existing members of North Sydney. North Sydney has unique socioeconomic characteristics and needs that might not be adequately addressed if its residents are absorbed into neighbouring, less cohesive electorates. Furthermore, all networks, connections, organisations, social case works, etc are intrinsically linked by being part of this community since 1901. Maintaining the seat ensures continuity in addressing long-term projects and community goals.

	Timothy Stephen
Signature	Name & Suburb

Date: <u>6</u> July 2024

RE: Objection to the AEC's proposal to abolish the federal seat of North Sydney.

Dear Sir/Madam:

North Sydney is a well-established and high functioning community.

North Sydney has been a federal seat since federation. It is telling that we were one of the first electorates to stand up for independent representation in 2022, and one of the few to vote for an Indigenous Voice to Parliament in the 2023 referendum. This was achieved because we are a tight network of community minded individuals.

# North Sydney is in fact growing!

The AEC's proposal to abolish North Sydney does not consider existing and future population growth numbers inside our electorate. The AEC has failed to consult appropriate sources for future growth numbers, including state and local planning policies, the number of developments under construction and the number of developments in the pipeline for future construction. Had they done so, we would not be on the list of possible electorates to be abolished.

Two examples of what has not been considered:

- 1. The NSW State Government plans to see 5,900 new homes built in the North Sydney LGA alone by 2029.
- 2. North Sydney Council is expecting 19,500 new residents to move into the area by 2036.

#### Abolishment will lead to disadvantage

The repercussions of the abolishment will also compromise effective representation by an elected Federal MP, to the disadvantage of the existing members of North Sydney. North Sydney has unique socioeconomic characteristics and needs that might not be adequately addressed if its residents are absorbed into neighbouring, less cohesive electorates. Furthermore, all networks, connections, organisations, social case works, etc are intrinsically linked by being part of this community since 1901. Maintaining the seat ensures continuity in addressing long-term projects and community goals.

V.		
	Sui Ling Yeung, Lane	Cove North.
Signature	Name & Suburb	

Date: 4 July 2024

RE: Objection to the AEC's proposal to abolish the federal seat of North Sydney.

Dear Sir/Madam:

North Sydney is a well-established and high functioning community.

North Sydney has been a federal seat since federation. It is telling that we were one of the first electorates to stand up for independent representation in 2022, and one of the few to vote for an Indigenous Voice to Parliament in the 2023 referendum. This was achieved because we are a tight network of community minded individuals.

# North Sydney is in fact growing!

The AEC's proposal to abolish North Sydney does not consider existing and future population growth numbers inside our electorate. The AEC has failed to consult appropriate sources for future growth numbers, including state and local planning policies, the number of developments under construction and the number of developments in the pipeline for future construction. Had they done so, we would not be on the list of possible electorates to be abolished.

Two examples of what has not been considered:

- 1. The NSW State Government plans to see 5,900 new homes built in the North Sydney LGA alone by 2029.
- 2. North Sydney Council is expecting 19,500 new residents to move into the area by 2036.

# Abolishment will lead to disadvantage

The repercussions of the abolishment will also compromise effective representation by an elected Federal MP, to the disadvantage of the existing members of North Sydney. North Sydney has unique socioeconomic characteristics and needs that might not be adequately addressed if its residents are absorbed into neighbouring, less cohesive electorates. Furthermore, all networks, connections, organisations, social case works, etc are intrinsically linked by being part of this community since 1901. Maintaining the seat ensures continuity in addressing long-term projects and community goals.

I am a proud member of the North Sydney community. I strongly oppose the draft proposal that the federal seat of North Sydney be abolished and request for it to remain intact.

Shol's Amello Lane (ove Name & Suburb

Date: 6 July 2024

RE: Objection to the AEC's proposal to abolish the federal seat of North Sydney.

Dear Sir/Madam:

North Sydney is a well-established and high functioning community.

North Sydney has been a federal seat since federation. It is telling that we were one of the first electorates to stand up for independent representation in 2022, and one of the few to vote for an Indigenous Voice to Parliament in the 2023 referendum. This was achieved because we are a tight network of community minded individuals.

North Sydney is in fact growing!

The AEC's proposal to abolish North Sydney does not consider existing and future population growth numbers inside our electorate. The AEC has failed to consult appropriate sources for future growth numbers, including state and local planning policies, the number of developments under construction and the number of developments in the pipeline for future construction. Had they done so, we would not be on the list of possible electorates to be abolished.

Two examples of what has not been considered:

- 1. The NSW State Government plans to see 5,900 new homes built in the North Sydney LGA alone by 2029.
- 2. North Sydney Council is expecting 19,500 new residents to move into the area by 2036.

#### Abolishment will lead to disadvantage

The repercussions of the abolishment will also compromise effective representation by an elected Federal MP, to the disadvantage of the existing members of North Sydney. North Sydney has unique socioeconomic characteristics and needs that might not be adequately addressed if its residents are absorbed into neighbouring, less cohesive electorates. Furthermore, all networks, connections, organisations, social case works, etc are intrinsically linked by being part of this community since 1901. Maintaining the seat ensures continuity in addressing long-term projects and community goals.

I am a proud member of the North Sydney community. I strongly oppose the draft proposal that the federal seat of North Sydney be abolished and request for it to remain intact.

Tess Munhy-Lane (ove Nth.
Name & Suburb

Date: U July 2024

RE: Objection to the AEC's proposal to abolish the federal seat of North Sydney.

Dear Sir/Madam:

# North Sydney is a well-established and high functioning community.

North Sydney has been a federal seat since federation. It is telling that we were one of the first electorates to stand up for independent representation in 2022, and one of the few to vote for an Indigenous Voice to Parliament in the 2023 referendum. This was achieved because we are a tight network of community minded individuals.

### North Sydney is in fact growing!

The AEC's proposal to abolish North Sydney does not consider existing and future population growth numbers inside our electorate. The AEC has failed to consult appropriate sources for future growth numbers, including state and local planning policies, the number of developments under construction and the number of developments in the pipeline for future construction. Had they done so, we would not be on the list of possible electorates to be abolished.

Two examples of what has not been considered:

- 1. The NSW State Government plans to see 5,900 new homes built in the North Sydney LGA alone by 2029.
- 2. North Sydney Council is expecting 19,500 new residents to move into the area by 2036.

### Abolishment will lead to disadvantage

The repercussions of the abolishment will also compromise effective representation by an elected Federal MP, to the disadvantage of the existing members of North Sydney. North Sydney has unique socioeconomic characteristics and needs that might not be adequately addressed if its residents are absorbed into neighbouring, less cohesive electorates. Furthermore, all networks, connections, organisations, social case works, etc are intrinsically linked by being part of this community since 1901. Maintaining the seat ensures continuity in addressing long-term projects and community goals.

I am a proud member of the North Sydney community. I strongly oppose the draft proposal that the federal seat of North Sydney be abolished and request for it to remain intact.

Signat Tina Tidar Law Care
Name & Suburb

Date: 6 5 July 2024

RE: Objection to the AEC's proposal to abolish the federal seat of North Sydney.

Dear Sir/Madam:

#### North Sydney is a well-established and high functioning community.

North Sydney has been a federal seat since federation. It is telling that we were one of the first electorates to stand up for independent representation in 2022, and one of the few to vote for an Indigenous Voice to Parliament in the 2023 referendum. This was achieved because we are a tight network of community minded individuals.

#### North Sydney is in fact growing!


The AEC's proposal to abolish North Sydney does not consider existing and future population growth numbers inside our electorate. The AEC has failed to consult appropriate sources for future growth numbers, including state and local planning policies, the number of developments under construction and the number of developments in the pipeline for future construction. Had they done so, we would not be on the list of possible electorates to be abolished.

Two examples of what has not been considered:

- 1. The NSW State Government plans to see 5,900 new homes built in the North Sydney LGA alone by 2029.
- 2. North Sydney Council is expecting 19,500 new residents to move into the area by 2036.

#### Abolishment will lead to disadvantage

The repercussions of the abolishment will also compromise effective representation by an elected Federal MP, to the disadvantage of the existing members of North Sydney. North Sydney has unique socioeconomic characteristics and needs that might not be adequately addressed if its residents are absorbed into neighbouring, less cohesive electorates. Furthermore, all networks, connections, organisations, social case works, etc are intrinsically linked by being part of this community since 1901. Maintaining the seat ensures continuity in addressing long-term projects and community goals.



Date: Killy 2024

RE: Objection to the AEC's proposal to abolish the federal seat of North Sydney.

Dear Sir/Madam:

## North Sydney is a well-established and high functioning community.

North Sydney has been a federal seat since federation. It is telling that we were one of the first electorates to stand up for independent representation in 2022, and one of the few to vote for an Indigenous Voice to Parliament in the 2023 referendum. This was achieved because we are a tight network of community minded individuals.

#### North Sydney is in fact growing!


The AEC's proposal to abolish North Sydney does not consider existing and future population growth numbers inside our electorate. The AEC has failed to consult appropriate sources for future growth numbers, including state and local planning policies, the number of developments under construction and the number of developments in the pipeline for future construction. Had they done so, we would not be on the list of possible electorates to be abolished.

Two examples of what has not been considered:

- 1. The NSW State Government plans to see 5,900 new homes built in the North Sydney LGA alone by 2029.
- 2. North Sydney Council is expecting 19,500 new residents to move into the area by 2036.

#### Abolishment will lead to disadvantage

The repercussions of the abolishment will also compromise effective representation by an elected Federal MP, to the disadvantage of the existing members of North Sydney. North Sydney has unique socioeconomic characteristics and needs that might not be adequately addressed if its residents are absorbed into neighbouring, less cohesive electorates. Furthermore, all networks, connections, organisations, social case works, etc are intrinsically linked by being part of this community since 1901. Maintaining the seat ensures continuity in addressing long-term projects and community goals.



RE: Objection to the AEC's proposal to abolish the federal seat of North Sydney.

Dear Sir/Madam:

North Sydney is a well-established and high functioning community.

North Sydney has been a federal seat since federation. It is telling that we were one of the first electorates to stand up for independent representation in 2022, and one of the few to vote for an Indigenous Voice to Parliament in the 2023 referendum. This was achieved because we are a tight network of community minded individuals.

North Sydney is in fact growing!

The AEC's proposal to abolish North Sydney does not consider existing and future population growth numbers inside our electorate. The AEC has failed to consult appropriate sources for future growth numbers, including state and local planning policies, the number of developments under construction and the number of developments in the pipeline for future construction. Had they done so, we would not be on the list of possible electorates to be abolished.

Two examples of what has not been considered:

- 1. The NSW State Government plans to see 5,900 new homes built in the North Sydney LGA alone by 2029.
- 2. North Sydney Council is expecting 19,500 new residents to move into the area by 2036.

#### Abolishment will lead to disadvantage

The repercussions of the abolishment will also compromise effective representation by an elected Federal MP, to the disadvantage of the existing members of North Sydney. North Sydney has unique socioeconomic characteristics and needs that might not be adequately addressed if its residents are absorbed into neighbouring, less cohesive electorates. Furthermore, all networks, connections, organisations, social case works, etc are intrinsically linked by being part of this community since 1901. Maintaining the seat ensures continuity in addressing long-term projects and community goals.



Date: <u>6</u> July 2024

RE: Objection to the AEC's proposal to abolish the federal seat of North Sydney.

Dear Sir/Madam:

## North Sydney is a well-established and high functioning community.

North Sydney has been a federal seat since federation. It is telling that we were one of the first electorates to stand up for independent representation in 2022, and one of the few to vote for an Indigenous Voice to Parliament in the 2023 referendum. This was achieved because we are a tight network of community minded individuals.

#### North Sydney is in fact growing!

The AEC's proposal to abolish North Sydney does not consider existing and future population growth numbers inside our electorate. The AEC has failed to consult appropriate sources for future growth numbers, including state and local planning policies, the number of developments under construction and the number of developments in the pipeline for future construction. Had they done so, we would not be on the list of possible electorates to be abolished.

Two examples of what has not been considered:

- 1. The NSW State Government plans to see 5,900 new homes built in the North Sydney LGA alone by 2029.
- 2. North Sydney Council is expecting 19,500 new residents to move into the area by 2036.

#### Abolishment will lead to disadvantage

The repercussions of the abolishment will also compromise effective representation by an elected Federal MP, to the disadvantage of the existing members of North Sydney. North Sydney has unique socioeconomic characteristics and needs that might not be adequately addressed if its residents are absorbed into neighbouring, less cohesive electorates. Furthermore, all networks, connections, organisations, social case works, etc are intrinsically linked by being part of this community since 1901. Maintaining the seat ensures continuity in addressing long-term projects and community goals.

I am a proud member of the North Sydney community. I strongly oppose the draft proposal that the federal seat of North Sydney be abolished and request for it to

remain intact

Name & Suburb

Lind here 30 yrs

Date: July 2024

RE: Objection to the AEC's proposal to abolish the federal seat of North Sydney.

Dear Sir/Madam:

#### North Sydney is a well-established and high functioning community.

North Sydney has been a federal seat since federation. It is telling that we were one of the first electorates to stand up for independent representation in 2022, and one of the few to vote for an Indigenous Voice to Parliament in the 2023 referendum. This was achieved because we are a tight network of community minded individuals.

#### North Sydney is in fact growing!

The AEC's proposal to abolish North Sydney does not consider existing and future population growth numbers inside our electorate. The AEC has failed to consult appropriate sources for future growth numbers, including state and local planning policies, the number of developments under construction and the number of developments in the pipeline for future construction. Had they done so, we would not be on the list of possible electorates to be abolished.

Two examples of what has not been considered:

- 1, The NSW State Government plans to see 5,900 new homes built in the North Sydney LGA alone by 2029.
- 2. North Sydney Council is expecting 19,500 new residents to move into the area by 2036.

#### Abolishment will lead to disadvantage

The repercussions of the abolishment will also compromise effective representation by an elected Federal MP, to the disadvantage of the existing members of North Sydney, North Sydney has unique socioeconomic characteristics and needs that might not be adequately addressed if its residents are absorbed into neighbouring. less cohesive electorates. Furthermore, all networks, connections, organisations, social case works, etc are intrinsically linked by being part of this community since 1901. Maintaining the seat ensures continuity in addressing long-term projects and community goals.

I am a proud member of the North Sydney community. I strongly oppose the draft proposal that the federal seat of North Sydney be abolished and request for it to remain intact.

CLINTON LAVE COL Name & Suburb

Date: Muly 2024

RE: Objection to the AEC's proposal to abolish the federal seat of North Sydney.

Dear Sir/Madam:

North Sydney is a well-established and high functioning community.

North Sydney has been a federal seat since federation. It is telling that we were one of the first electorates to stand up for independent representation in 2022, and one of the few to vote for an Indigenous Voice to Parliament in the 2023 referendum. This was achieved because we are a tight network of community minded individuals.

#### North Sydney is in fact growing!

The AEC's proposal to abolish North Sydney does not consider existing and future population growth numbers inside our electorate. The AEC has failed to consult appropriate sources for future growth numbers, including state and local planning policies, the number of developments under construction and the number of developments in the pipeline for future construction. Had they done so, we would not be on the list of possible electorates to be abolished.

Two examples of what has not been considered:

- 1. The NSW State Government plans to see 5,900 new homes built in the North Sydney LGA alone by 2029.
- 2. North Sydney Council is expecting 19,500 new residents to move into the area by 2036.

#### Abolishment will lead to disadvantage

The repercussions of the abolishment will also compromise effective representation by an elected Federal MP, to the disadvantage of the existing members of North Sydney. North Sydney has unique socioeconomic characteristics and needs that might not be adequately addressed if its residents are absorbed into neighbouring, less cohesive electorates. Furthermore, all networks, connections, organisations, social case works, etc are intrinsically linked by being part of this community since 1901. Maintaining the seat ensures continuity in addressing long-term projects and community goals.

I am a proud member of the North Sydney community. I strongly oppose the draft proposal that the federal seat of North Sydney be abolished and request for it to remain intact.

Tracy Ashton Honters Hill 2110 Name & Suburb

Date: \_\_\_\_\_ July 2024

RE: Objection to the AEC's proposal to abolish the federal seat of North Sydney.

Dear Sir/Madam:

North Sydney is a well-established and high functioning community.

North Sydney has been a federal seat since federation. It is telling that we were one of the first electorates to stand up for independent representation in 2022, and one of the few to vote for an Indigenous Voice to Parliament in the 2023 referendum. This was achieved because we are a tight network of community minded individuals.

North Sydney is in fact growing!

The AEC's proposal to abolish North Sydney does not consider existing and future population growth numbers inside our electorate. The AEC has failed to consult appropriate sources for future growth numbers, including state and local planning policies, the number of developments under construction and the number of developments in the pipeline for future construction. Had they done so, we would not be on the list of possible electorates to be abolished.

Two examples of what has not been considered:

- 1. The NSW State Government plans to see 5,900 new homes built in the North Sydney LGA alone by 2029.
- 2. North Sydney Council is expecting 19,500 new residents to move into the area by 2036.

#### Abolishment will lead to disadvantage

The repercussions of the abolishment will also compromise effective representation by an elected Federal MP, to the disadvantage of the existing members of North Sydney. North Sydney has unique socioeconomic characteristics and needs that might not be adequately addressed if its residents are absorbed into neighbouring, less cohesive electorates. Furthermore, all networks, connections, organisations, social case works, etc are intrinsically linked by being part of this community since 1901. Maintaining the seat ensures continuity in addressing long-term projects and community goals.

	Kar Chin, GLADESVILLE		
Signature	Name & Suburb		

Date: \_\_\_\_ July 2024

RE: Objection to the AEC's proposal to abolish the federal seat of North Sydney.

Dear Sir/Madam:

North Sydney is a well-established and high functioning community.

North Sydney has been a federal seat since federation. It is telling that we were one of the first electorates to stand up for independent representation in 2022, and one of the few to vote for an Indigenous Voice to Parliament in the 2023 referendum. This was achieved because we are a tight network of community minded individuals.

North Sydney is in fact growing!

The AEC's proposal to abolish North Sydney does not consider existing and future population growth numbers inside our electorate. The AEC has failed to consult appropriate sources for future growth numbers, including state and local planning policies, the number of developments under construction and the number of developments in the pipeline for future construction. Had they done so, we would not be on the list of possible electorates to be abolished.

Two examples of what has not been considered:

- 1. The NSW State Government plans to see 5,900 new homes built in the North Sydney LGA alone by 2029.
- 2. North Sydney Council is expecting 19,500 new residents to move into the area by 2036.

#### Abolishment will lead to disadvantage

The repercussions of the abolishment will also compromise effective representation by an elected Federal MP, to the disadvantage of the existing members of North Sydney. North Sydney has unique socioeconomic characteristics and needs that might not be adequately addressed if its residents are absorbed into neighbouring, less cohesive electorates. Furthermore, all networks, connections, organisations, social case works, etc are intrinsically linked by being part of this community since 1901. Maintaining the seat ensures continuity in addressing long-term projects and community goals.

	iona	TEV	HUNTERS HILL
Signature	Name & St	uburb	

Date: 6 July 2024

RE: Objection to the AEC's proposal to abolish the federal seat of North Sydney.

Dear Sir/Madam:

North Sydney is a well-established and high functioning community.

North Sydney has been a federal seat since federation. It is telling that we were one of the first electorates to stand up for independent representation in 2022, and one of the few to vote for an Indigenous Voice to Parliament in the 2023 referendum. This was achieved because we are a tight network of community minded individuals.

North Sydney is in fact growing!

The AEC's proposal to abolish North Sydney does not consider existing and future population growth numbers inside our electorate. The AEC has failed to consult appropriate sources for future growth numbers, including state and local planning policies, the number of developments under construction and the number of developments in the pipeline for future construction. Had they done so, we would not be on the list of possible electorates to be abolished.

Two examples of what has not been considered:

- 1. The NSW State Government plans to see 5,900 new homes built in the North Sydney LGA alone by 2029.
- 2. North Sydney Council is expecting 19,500 new residents to move into the area by 2036.

#### Abolishment will lead to disadvantage

The repercussions of the abolishment will also compromise effective representation by an elected Federal MP, to the disadvantage of the existing members of North Sydney. North Sydney has unique socioeconomic characteristics and needs that might not be adequately addressed if its residents are absorbed into neighbouring, less cohesive electorates. Furthermore, all networks, connections, organisations, social case works, etc are intrinsically linked by being part of this community since 1901. Maintaining the seat ensures continuity in addressing long-term projects and community goals.

I am a proud member of the North Sydney community. I strongly oppose the draft proposal that the federal seat of North Sydney be abolished and request for it to remain intact.

SALLY memuRRAY Gladesville NSW 2111 Name & Suburb

Signature

To: New South	Wales	augmented	Electoral	Commission

Date: \_\_\_ July 2024

RE: Objection to the AEC's proposal to abolish the federal seat of North Sydney.

Dear Sir/Madam:

North Sydney is a well-established and high functioning community.

North Sydney has been a federal seat since federation. It is telling that we were one of the first electorates to stand up for independent representation in 2022, and one of the few to vote for an Indigenous Voice to Parliament in the 2023 referendum. This was achieved because we are a tight network of community minded individuals.

North Sydney is in fact growing!

The AEC's proposal to abolish North Sydney does not consider existing and future population growth numbers inside our electorate. The AEC has failed to consult appropriate sources for future growth numbers, including state and local planning policies, the number of developments under construction and the number of developments in the pipeline for future construction. Had they done so, we would not be on the list of possible electorates to be abolished.

Two examples of what has not been considered:

1. The NSW State Government plans to see 5,900 new homes built in the North Sydney LGA alone by 2029.

2. North Sydney Council is expecting 19,500 new residents to move into the area by 2036.

Abolishment will lead to disadvantage

The repercussions of the abolishment will also compromise effective representation by an elected Federal MP, to the disadvantage of the existing members of North Sydney. North Sydney has unique socioeconomic characteristics and needs that might not be adequately addressed if its residents are absorbed into neighbouring, less cohesive electorates. Furthermore, all networks, connections, organisations, social case works, etc are intrinsically linked by being part of this community since 1901. Maintaining the seat ensures continuity in addressing long-term projects and community goals.

JULIAN ASHTON	Holastil
Name & Suburb	
	SULAN ASHTON Name & Suburb

To: New South	Wales	augmented	Electoral	Commission
-				

Date: 7 July 2024

RE: Objection to the AEC's proposal to abolish the federal seat of North Sydney.

Dear Sir/Madam:

North Sydney is a well-established and high functioning community. North Sydney has been a federal seat since federation. It is telling that we were one of the first electorates to stand up for independent representation in 2022, and one of the few to vote for an Indigenous Voice to Parliament in the 2023 referendum. This was achieved because we are a tight network of community minded individuals.

North Sydney is in fact growing!

The AEC's proposal to abolish North Sydney does not consider existing and future population growth numbers inside our electorate. The AEC has failed to consult appropriate sources for future growth numbers, including state and local planning policies, the number of developments under construction and the number of developments in the pipeline for future construction. Had they done so, we would not be on the list of possible electorates to be abolished.

Two examples of what has not been considered:

1. The NSW State Government plans to see 5,900 new homes built in the North Sydney LGA alone by 2029.

2. North Sydney Council is expecting 19,500 new residents to move into the area by 2036.

Abolishment will lead to disadvantage

The repercussions of the abolishment will also compromise effective representation by an elected Federal MP, to the disadvantage of the existing members of North Sydney. North Sydney has unique socioeconomic characteristics and needs that might not be adequately addressed if its residents are absorbed into neighbouring, less cohesive electorates. Furthermore, all networks, connections, organisations, social case works, etc are intrinsically linked by being part of this community since 1901. Maintaining the seat ensures continuity in addressing long-term projects and community goals.

	Sam Abton Hunter Hill		
Signature	Name & Suburb		

Date: 8 July 2024

RE: Objection to the AEC's proposal to abolish the federal seat of North Sydney.

Dear Sir/Madam:

North Sydney is a well-established and high functioning community. North Sydney has been a federal seat since federation. It is telling that we were one of the first electorates to stand up for independent representation in 2022, and one of the few to vote for an Indigenous Voice to Parliament in the 2023 referendum. This was achieved because we are a tight network of community minded individuals.

North Sydney is in fact growing!

The AEC's proposal to abolish North Sydney does not consider existing and future population growth numbers inside our electorate. The AEC has failed to consult appropriate sources for future growth numbers, including state and local planning policies, the number of developments under construction and the number of developments in the pipeline for future construction.

Two examples of what has not been considered:

1. The NSW State Government plans to see 5,900 new homes built in the North Sydney LGA alone by 2029.

2. North Sydney Council is expecting 19,500 new residents to move into the area by 2036.

It does not make sense to propose to split the high-growth area around St Leonards and Crows Nest into three separate electorates.

North Sydney is a community of interest

The North Sydney community has unique characteristics and needs that might not be adequately addressed if its residents are absorbed into neighbouring electorates. Furthermore the networks, connections, sporting groups, businesses, community groups, schools and transport lines are intrinsically linked within the current North Sydney electorate. Maintaining the seat ensures continuity in addressing long-term projects and community goals and is important economically given North Sydney is the third largest business centre in Australia and the significant economic corridor that runs through the electorate.

I am a proud member of the North Sydney community. I strongly oppose the draft proposal that the federal seat of North Sydney be abolished and request for it to remain intact, with minimal changes to accommodate changes in overall numbers of electors in NSW.

- GLADESVILLE Signat

Date: 08 July 2024

RE: Objection to the AEC's proposal to abolish the federal seat of North Sydney.

Dear Sir/Madam:

North Sydney is a well-established and high functioning community.

North Sydney has been a federal seat since federation. It is telling that we were one of the first electorates to stand up for independent representation in 2022, and one of the few to vote for an Indigenous Voice to Parliament in the 2023 referendum. This was achieved because we are a tight network of community minded individuals.

North Sydney is in fact growing!

The AEC's proposal to abolish North Sydney does not consider existing and future population growth numbers inside our electorate. The AEC has failed to consult appropriate sources for future growth numbers, including state and local planning policies, the number of developments under construction and the number of developments in the pipeline for future construction.

Two examples of what has not been considered:

1. The NSW State Government plans to see 5,900 new homes built in the North Sydney LGA alone by 2029.

2. North Sydney Council is expecting 19,500 new residents to move into the area by 2036.

It does not make sense to propose to split the high-growth area around St Leonards and Crows Nest into three separate electorates.

North Sydney is a community of interest

The North Sydney community has unique characteristics and needs that might not be adequately addressed if its residents are absorbed into neighbouring electorates. Furthermore the networks, connections, sporting groups, businesses, community groups, schools and transport lines are intrinsically linked within the current North Sydney electorate. Maintaining the seat ensures continuity in addressing long-term projects and community goals and is important economically given North Sydney is the third largest business centre in Australia and the significant economic corridor that runs through the electorate.

I am a proud member of the North Sydney community. I strongly oppose the draft proposal that the federal seat of North Sydney be abolished and request for it to remain intact, with minimal changes to accommodate changes in overall numbers of electors in NSW.



Date: 6 July 2024

RE: Objection to the AEC's proposal to abolish the federal seat of North Sydney.

Dear Sir/Madam:

# North Sydney is a well-established and high functioning community.

North Sydney has been a federal seat since federation. It is telling that we were one of the first electorates to stand up for independent representation in 2022, and one of the few to vote for an Indigenous Voice to Parliament in the 2023 referendum. This was achieved because we are a tight network of community minded individuals.

North Sydney is in fact growing!

The AEC's proposal to abolish North Sydney does not consider existing and future population growth numbers inside our electorate. The AEC has failed to consult appropriate sources for future growth numbers, including state and local planning policies, the number of developments under construction and the number of developments in the pipeline for future construction. Had they done so, we would not be on the list of possible electorates to be abolished.

Two examples of what has not been considered:

- 1. The NSW State Government plans to see 5,900 new homes built in the North Sydney LGA alone by 2029.
- 2. North Sydney Council is expecting 19,500 new residents to move into the area by 2036.

## Abolishment will lead to disadvantage

The repercussions of the abolishment will also compromise effective representation by an elected Federal MP, to the disadvantage of the existing members of North Sydney. North Sydney has unique socioeconomic characteristics and needs that might not be adequately addressed if its residents are absorbed into neighbouring, less cohesive electorates. Furthermore, all networks, connections, organisations, social case works, etc are intrinsically linked by being part of this community since 1901. Maintaining the seat ensures continuity in addressing long-term projects and community goals.

I am a proud member of the North Sydney community. I strongly oppose the draft proposal that the federal seat of North Sydney be abolished and request for it to remain intact.

Signature

Name & Suburb