



Objection 706

Michelle Chaperon 2 pages

To: The NSW Redistribution Committee

Re: Draft plans to abolish the federal seat of North Sydney in the proposed 2024 NSW redistribution

Dear Committee.

I am writing to strongly object to the proposal to abolish the federal seat of North Sydney.

I have lived in Wollstonecraft/Waverton/North Sydney for the last 12 years, purchasing my home in North Sydney in 2013. I run a small business to help the children of ageing parents from my home (on top of my corporate day job).

I have a strong connection to the North Sydney community. I'm on my local Precinct Committee, am a HarbourCare volunteer and have also been involved in community gardens in my local area.

More importantly, my son was born at Royal North Shore Hospital, baptised at St Mary's in North Sydney and goes to daycare in St Leonards. This is more than our home, this is our community.

We have unique characteristics here in North Sydney, that differ wildly to those of the seat of Warringah. We are a CBD, a village (we're made up of several villages), we are modern, we are heritage, and we're harbourside. While these might sound contradictory, they are all characteristics that meld beautifully to create the character of North Sydney.

I ask that you please consider Kylea Tink MP's proposal to retain a North Sydney seat with adjusted boundaries to accommodate changes in overall numbers of electors in NSW.

I do not believe that the seat of North Sydney should be abolished because:

1. Population growth: The population right across our electorate is growing rapidly but the AEC's proposal does not account for this growth. For example, the NSW State Government plans to see 5,900 new homes built in the North Sydney LGA alone by 2029. If that growth is replicated across the four LGAs currently contained in the federal seat that would see more than 24,000 new homes built across our community.

In addition to this, North Sydney Council is expecting 19,500 new residents to move into the area by 2036. This is a 27% increase in just one of the four LGAs that currently make up the seat of North Sydney and indicates that it is highly likely that to proceed with abolishing our seat now would be completely counterproductive, as arguably the case to re-establish it come 2029 will be more than obvious.

- 2. Our business community: As home to the third-largest business centre in the country, we also believe that North Sydney's businesses and industrial zones deserve the focus of a dedicated local member. North Sydney has a total of 28,000 small to medium size businesses (including mine) whose needs do not overlap entirely with those of the newly proposed areas of Bradfield, Bennelong or Warringah.
- 3. North Sydney is a cohesive and engaged community: North Sydney is home to many environmental, humanitarian, religious and social groups all working together to improve our community. It is telling that we were one of the first electorates to stand up for independent representation in 2022, and one of the few to vote for an Indigenous Voice to Parliament in the 2023 referendum. We respect and value our cultural diversity, with half of our population born overseas and a third speaking a language other than English at home. And we are home to several active and competitive sports teams, including two of the largest community-based sporting organisations in the state.

4. 123 Years of history: The historical significance of the federal seat of North Sydney is part of our community's identity. North Sydney is one of the 75 original federation divisions contested in Australia's first federal election 123 years ago.

Again, I strongly oppose the draft proposal that the seat of North Sydney be abolished and request for it to remain, with minimal changes to accommodate changes in overall numbers of electors in NSW.

Yours sincerely,

Michelle Chaperon

North Sydney 2060