



Comment on objections 62

Adam

1 page

Dear members of the Redistribution Committee,

I wish to acknowledge support for a number of objections raised to the proposed boundaries.

I fully support all objections which have suggested that Hotham is a more appropriate division to abolish than Higgins in order to better reflect the communities of interest requirement. It is clear that Higgins, as an electorate based on one LGA, the City of Stonnington, has a much clearer community of interest than Hotham, which is a mismatch of many LGAs and many demographics and communities. I therefore support this suggestion which is made in objections 10, 86, 122, 152, 235 and 281 (and any other objections which may also make this suggestion).

I also wish to support any objection which has suggested Southbank would be a better addition to the electorate of Melbourne than South Yarra and Prahran, including objections 95, 122, 152, 174, 288, 416, 462 and 504. The high density, CBD-adjacent, nature of this area far better aligns with Melbourne than South Yarra and Prahran.

As a long-term former resident of the electorate of Casey, I would also like to add my support to suggestions to rename Casey as 'Barak', as mentioned in objections 302, 319, 419, 468. Having lived in Casey, which does not cover the City of Casey LGA in any way, but directly borders this area, I have witnessed firsthand the confusion this has caused. William Barak is also very worthy of having an electorate named after him.

Cheers,

Adam